

ID	Test Observation Title	Same-As the Following	Description	DBQ Form	Status	Test Observation Identifier	Severity Level	Classification	Harris Response to Action	Harris's Plan for Resolution	Comments	VA UAT Team Response	Requires Scripting	Item Type	Path	VA UAT Team Recommended Action
1	Physician ID on the Claim Form	32;#32	Based upon the DBQ Data Mapping document it is understood that the Physician ID is to be generated from the UserID until SEP Integration. There is a question as to what the user should enter into the Physician ID on the Claim form.	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Open	Tony Curington	Low	Change Request		Official direction was never received on this. Change can be made to auto-populate with minor scripting	11/14 - Harris will research. 11/15 - Harris responded with the following statement, "Official direction was never received on this. Change can be made to auto-populate with minor scripting"  11/15 - Official response was provided to Harris in the NIEM Conformance 2.0 document which refers to the DBQ Data Mapping document. This was communicated on August 9, 2012.  11/15 - On August 21, 2012, George Ludegate directed Harris to leave this as a text field as there may be scenarios where a Physician's data entry clerk may	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT_IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request

2 Respiratory Conditions DBQ - Medical Conditions Question 3B	When opening the Medical History section of the Respiratory DBQ, for question 3B, according to the RED, all subordinate questions related to a Yes/No Boolean will remain visible until Yes or No is selected. For question 3B, none of the subordinate questions are visible until the Yes is selected.	Respiratory Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960L-1	Resolved	Tony Curington	Low	Defect	2.5. Visible Global Rule All DBQ questions and subordinate components (Figure 1) are initially visible to the actor unless specified by a global rule (Visible Text Entry Global Rule) or specifically stated in the DBQ detail requirements. Ticket entered to show subordinates until Y/N is answered for Q3B and Q3C. UAT-A20-CLM-001	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
---	---	---	----------	----------------	-----	--------	---	---------------	------	---	------------------------------------

3	Respiratory Conditions DBQ - Medical History Question 3B number 2	When selecting the "Yes" radio button for question 3B, I was presented with subordinate questions. I then answered the subordinate questions, such as, "Requires intermittent courses or bursts of systemic (oral or parenteral) corticosteroids: " When answering this question I was presented with additional subordinate questions such as, "(If yes, indicate number of courses or bursts in past 12 months):" For this question I selected the number 2 from the dropdown. Before saving, I then went back to	Respiratory Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960L-1	Resolved	Tony Curington	Other	Could not replicate issue. When I selected "No" my response was that the subordinates became hidden.	11/14 - Harris will research.	Retested and could not replicate either	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
---	---	--	---	----------	----------------	-------	---	----------------------------------	---	------	---	---

4	Blood Pressure Reading Fields -- Issues with Character Limits		The system does not allow more than 3 characters in the BP reading fields (Reading #1, Reading #2, etc.), implying that the systolic and diastolic readings be entered in separate "Reading #" fields. In the PDF form, the intent is to display both the systolic and diastolic readings in one field, however the system does not allow more than 3 characters for each reading.	Hypertension - Pending Resolution	Observed During UAT Training	Critical	Defect	Ticket has been opened to correct UAT-A03-CJM-001	11/14 - Harris will research	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	
5	Request to Save Data Pages without Completing Required Fields	20;#20	Users will need the ability to move from page to page without being forced to complete all required fields. Sometimes the doctors need to capture whatever information they can while the patient is in their office, and ALL data it is not always available at that time.	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Haddad	Change Request	Removing all required fields is a data integrity issue. The users can save a form with no data. However, this can be done with no scripting; just configuration changes. Need formal guidance on the new requirement.	12/10 - If required fields are kept, scripting is required to add "warning messages" and to save the information, upon saving without all required fields being completed 11/14 - This requires additional scripting to accomplish.	CR for future consideration.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT Change Request _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List

6	Respiratory Conditions - Bronchiectasis Weights	7;#7;#8;#8	Respiratory Condictions - Bronchiectasis Baseline and Current Weights allow Characters when it is specified in the Data Validation version 1.1 that these field should be Numeric.	Respiratory Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960L-1	Pending Resolution	Tony Curington	Defect	Ticket created to correct. UAT-A20-CLM-002	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available
7	Respiratory Conditions - SARCOIDOSIS Weights	6;#6;#8;#8	Respiratory Condictions - Sacoidosis Current and Baseline weights should be limited to 3 character Numeric fields but allows 3 character Strings.	Respiratory Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960L-1	Resolved	Tony Curington	Defect	Ticket created to correct. UAT-A20-CLM-002	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
8	Respiratory Conditions - Mycotic Weights	6;#6;#7;#7	Respiratory Conditions - Mycotic Baseline and Current weights should be limited to 3 character Numeric fields but allow 3 character Strings	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960A-4	Resolved	Tony Curington	Defect	Ticket created to correct. UAT-A20-CLM-002	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression

9	Respiratory Conditions Referral to Scars/Disfigurement DBQ	It appears that the followig requirement from the RED is not being followed in terms of the statement of providing a notification:  <i>SELECT THE STATEMENT(S) THAT BEST DESCRIBE THE VETERAN'S GUNSHOT OR FRAGMENT WOUND OR THE PLEURAL CAVITY AND RESIDUALS, IF ANY</i>  <i>Step 20: 1. Actor selects one or more of items. a. If "Other" is selected then description is needed.System</i>	Respiratory Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960L-1	Presented to Contractor	Tony Curington	High	Change Request	Physical Exam section Part H - GUNSHOT?FRAGME NT WOUND notifies to complete the Muscle Injury form per the PDF and per the RED requirements but requirement does not specify to ask to have the form loaded. Part L - OTHER ..... notifies to complete the Scars form and the RED requirements do specify to ask if they want to load the form. Workflow message refers to Part L.  No defect to correct. 11/26 - This has been changed to a Change Request. The RED needs to be modified to use consistent language	11/14 - Harris needs to recreate. 12/6 - The system still should provide a message to fill out the Muscle Injury DBQ.	This requirement is in both RED and the PDF, instructing the user to complete the Muscle Injury DBQ if "Other" is selected. The requirement in RED states to notify the user to complete the Muscle Injury DBQ, but the intent is also to load this DBQ so that the user CAN complete it.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
10	Lost Data in Medical History	11;#11;#14;#14;#34;#34;#21;#21	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960A-4	Resolved	Dr. Haddad		Training Issue	This could not be reproduced but if all errors, including workflow, are not resolved the page will not save.  <b>New training instructions</b> - If Errors are presented the data is not saved. The user must clear all errors and workflow messages in order to save the data.		Training issue	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue

11	It is difficult to understand when it has Saved Successfully	10;#10;#14;#14;#34;#34;#21;#21	General	Presented to Contractor	Tony Curington	Change Request	Workflow messages need to be cleared in order to save a form. Forms refresh on save so it should be visible when a screen refreshes	11/14 - According to Harris, in order to resolve this item Harris will require additional scripting.	Future Change request (to add a message that information has been saved)	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
12	PDF DBQ Forms is cutting off data		General	Presented to Contractor	Tony Curington Jr	Change Request	Need specific form and question examples. We can't change the form or the length of the fields on the current forms. Need actual specific examples as each the field lengths vary from form to form.	11-14 Harris will investigate the resolution.	Bug For discussion -- This will require scripting. Are we going to allow scripting for this? If so, do we need to identify all fields in each DBQ that have this issue? 11/29-- Per Dick's request, Amy is going to determine cost and ROI for minimal scripting. 12/6 - This is not a scripting issue. it will require a change to the OMB form to allow the PDF to display more characters.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM

13	Submitted claims/DBQ forms can be edited		The system allows the user edit claim and DBQ data after a claim has been submitted. "After submitting, I was able to go back into the DBQ. I assume that after submission it should not be editable; it should not be."	General;#Hear t Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Presented to Contractor Dr. Frolich	Change Request	Working as designed. New Requirements need to be provided and additional scripting would need to be added to make the DBQ read only after a Submit action occurs.	11/14 - Harris needs to research the roundtrip gap.	Change Request For discussion -- This will require scripting. Are we going to allow scripting for this?	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
14	Losing data upon multiple SAVE attempts	10;#10;#11;#11;#34;#34;#21;#21	I saved the history without completing all of the requires (ignoring the warnings—some of which were opaque—said only something like work flow requirement) and went to another section. When I came back, all my work had been lost.	General;#Hear t Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Resolved Dr. Frolich	Training Issue	Workflow messages stop a form from being saved. The "business required" fields are a core feature and would require an update to the core product. The workflow messages can be removed by removing the LR rules.	Similar to Items #10 and 11. As designed, investigate scripting LOE	Training issue		Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue



15	Ability to uncheck a radio button/Submittin g DBQs w/o completing required fields	General	Presented to Dr. Frolich Contractor	Change Request	Radio buttons are intentionally boolean values to match requirements so either yes or no is required. If an additional value of null is required, the field can be changed to a Drop down with Yes, No, Null as the values with no additional scripting. This isn't usually a global decision so each field would have to be specified.	12/10 - This is not actually related to validation upon submit versus each page. The tester is actually suggesting the ability to submit a DBQ without completing all required fields. 11/14 - This is related to the Validation upon Submit, which will require additional scripting.	For discussion -- This would be a change request, but is it even an option (to make fields not required)?	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
----	---	---------	-------------------------------------	----------------	---	---	---	------	---	----------------

16	Data/Business Rule Validation at Save vs. Submit	It let me submit the DBQ without any warning. In fact, I thought it was at the point of submit that the warnings were going to come per our business rules.	General;#Hear t Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Presented to Dr. Frolich Contractor	Change Request	According to current scope, we are not to add additional scripting. Code would be required for validation on submit. A confirmation message wouldn't add any value to this, in my opinion	11/14 - May want to add a "Do you want to submit?"	For discussion -- I think this is a known defect. (Global requirement 2.1 is listed in RED. Harris commented "Will happen at Save, not Submit", and Jason concurred.) Are we going to allow scripting for validation at Submit, versus each page? 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - Harris identified this requirement as out of scope in 2.1 and Appendix C	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Out of Scope - Requirement identified by Harris as out of scope, due to required scripting.
17	Spacing/formatt ing issues during data entry	At least some (maybe all) of the text entry fields do not expand as entering data that exceeds one line (even though data is allowed), which makes it very difficult to read what you are entering—have to move the pointer across to expose text.	General	Presented to Dr. Frolich Contractor	Change Request	Requirements call for either Text field or Long Text field. Text is a standard width. These can be changed if required, but it will change the look and spacing of the rest of the form as well	11/14 Harris will research.	For Discussion- Are we going to allow scripting for expandable fields?	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

18	Formatted date fields - need free text fields	General	Presented to All Contractor		Critical	Change Request		Change Request. New requirements would need to be provided before the configuration team can start development/testing	Currently works as designed. A new requirement needs to be added to the next batch.	For discussion, - Date field		Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
19	Need ability to select ONLY relevant subordinates instead of having to answer "yes/no".	General	Pending Resolution	Frolich, Haddad	Medium	Defect	We can create a dummy multi checkbox to demonstrate the reason we did not go with creating multi-checkboxes for such questions. Two examples will be provided.	Works as designed. Requirement was to make at least one field required, but this isn't possible without additional scripting. Workaround is to make none of the conditional fields required, which would seem to satisfy the testers.	11/14 - Research the design options. This is cumbersome as currently designed. Harris will investigate	For discussion - Yes/No radio buttons versus, check all that apply -- 11/29 - CHECK WITH TONY - NON SCRIPTING SOLUTION? 11/30 - Per Tony, the only non scripting solution would be a drop-down list of checkboxes, but this design does not allow for the system to take certain actions based on boxes that are checked (which is required in this case). 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - Various requirements to "check all that apply", none are marked "out of scope" 12/19 - Defect. Harris has	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Defect - Regression test when available

20	Need ability to save partial information	5;#5	When I entered partial information in the diagnostic section (a very common clinical scenario is to have some test results but still be waiting for other test results to come back). It seems to me based on my limited experience so far that a section is not saved properly unless it is completely filled out which would be detrimental if that is indeed the case.	General	Resolved	Dr. Haddad	Other	Works as designed. Have to complete a section a click Save to save the record to the database.	Same as #5	Duplicate	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
21	System hanging issues when saving complex forms	34;#34;#10;#10;#11;#11;#14;#14	I am not sure if anyone else experienced this but when I entered complex scenarios with many yes answers and subordinate questions I got a blank screen when I pressed save and the data was not saved.	General;#Hear t Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Resolved	Dagen, Hadadd	Other	This could not be reproduced.		Could not be reproduced - system hanging issue	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced

22	Need descriptive error messages/highlighted error fields	When not completing all the Required boxes in history section of heart I received a message when trying to save that said : workflow messages. Below that the words “make required” appeared at the top and were listed several times (on several lines) with no other explanation next to the majority of those lines as to what this was referring to. There were a couple that had further instruction and read “Make required – HC 5B section 3 5b12” – that is not easy to understand or follow. We had asked if the missing	General;#Hear t Conditions - Contractor VA Form 21-0960A-4	Presented to Dr. Haddad	Change Request	Fields labeled with tags such as 5B123A should be modified to only show the label for that field. Any additional labeling would require additional scripting.	To highlight the field would be scripting. 11/14 - Harris will research how to make the validation errors more useful.	Change request - Highlight fields that have errors upon Save	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
----	--	---	---	-------------------------	----------------	---	--	--	------	------	---	----------------

23	System navigation takes too much time	General	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Haddad	Low	Change Request	Restarted the containers on 11/14. if still seeing issues, we can look into additional performance testing.	11/14 - Harris will research this.	Change Request -- change navigation options to suggested Tab format (I don't think Harris's Plan for Resolution addresses this issue.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
----	---------------------------------------	---------	-------------------------	------------	-----	----------------	---	------------------------------------	---	------	---	----------------

24	Subordinate data not save if Parent not answered	User inadvertently completed subordinate fields without answering the parent question(non-required fields). The system did not save the data that was entered. Users would like all data entered to be saved.	General	Resolved	11/13 Observation Review Meeting	Critical	Other	Additional testing is required to confirm this is happening in Test2.	According to the Hierarchal Path Rule in the RED Document, Harris made the following comment in response, "If subordinate level answers are completed without parent question being answered, warning displays at Save that Parent question has not been answered." It would seem that the user should have received a warning that would instruct them that they have not answered the Parent question thus preventing this request.	Retested this using the Respiritory Conditions DBQ, item 2 in the Medical History module, and could not reproduce the problem.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
25	"Add Heart Conditions" button does not work	The button in item 2C, "Add Heart Conditions" does not work. Nothing happens when it is clicked.	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Pending Resolution	11/13 Observation Review Meeting		Defect	This button is an issue and should be removed. A ticket was created for the development team to remove this button. Ticket created to correct UAT-A02-CJM-001		ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

26	Item #17 needs to be greater than 30 characters	Item #17 needs to be greater than 30 characters. User entered more than 30 characters and received errors when saving.	Ischemic Heart Disease - Contractor VA Form 21-0960A-1	Presented to Dr Ljaamo	Change Request	Need clarification but can increase the data size limit if given a specific data element to update Item17 is not a numbered section or item number on a DBQ.	Need clarification from tester -- No item "17" on form 11/14 - Surina needs to follow up with Dr. Ljaamo	Change Request - This observation was updated on 11/14-- -not sure if Harris reviewed the latest spreadsheet. 11/14 - This observation was for Item 3C, not 17 -- Appropriate changes were made to the description and title above. Recommend classifying this as a Change Request- -the system is functioning per the Data Validation requirements.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
27	Data entered in system not exported to the PDF	Ischemic Heart Disease: In item 5C, "80%" was entered, "0.0%" appears on the PDF. Hypertension: Data entered in items 2C, 3A, and 3B, does not appear on the PDF	Ischemic Heart Disease - Resolution VA Form 21-0960A-1	Pending Dr. Ljaamo	Defect	Ticket created to correct.HT-04-CJM-001 Hypertension- When tested in the UAT environment all items mapped over.		ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available



28	Subordinates required for "No" response	After selecting "No" for 5B, subordinates are still required, and they should not be. In 9B, when the user selects "No" the subordinates should not be displayed	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21-0960A-4	Pending Resolution	Defect	Ticket submitted to correct. UAT-A02-CJM-003	Question 3B and 9B in the MH section of Heart Conditions follows the RED Doc requirements.	The detailed requirements do not state that the subordinates should be hidden, but global requirement "2.2. Visible Text Entry Global Rule" states, All questions that take the form of a "Yes" or "No" selection followed only by a text field that is associated with answering "Yes" the text field shall not be visible until the Actor selects the "Yes" choice. 12/6 - a screen shot for 9B was displayed during the meeting. This is a defect	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
29	System needs to require 3 BP readings	The system should require the user to enter 3 blood pressure readings, 2C, 2D	Hypertension - VA Form 21-0960A-3	Presented to Dr. Fagan Contractor	Change Request	Change Request. New requirements would need to be provided before the configuration team can start development/testing.	This deviates from the instructions in the form.	This is a request to change the form, which is not in scope	Item	sites/OIT Change Request - REQUEST _IDIQ/VL TO CHANGE OMB FORM ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List

30	Claim Form - Facility name not fully displayed in field		General	Pending Resolution	Dagen		Defect	Ticket submitted to correct. UAT-A00-CJM-001	This box can and needs to be a little wider. The scripting issue has to do with wrapping of the text in the dropdown. But it appears there is enough real estate to expand this width some more.	11/14 - This is a known issue that requires scripting. Harris will research if the field can be made larger or scroll bars can be added.	Wrapping text requires scripting. However, per Harris, this is a drop-down box which can be widened without scripting.		Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
31	List of DBQ sections come up before it goes to the correct listing on the left		General	Pending Resolution	Dagen		Defect	The only option here would be to replace the existing entellitrak view with a custom page that automatically redirects to the appropriate child. This will require creating and maintaining that page any time new DBQs are added and scripting to facilitate the redirect	It is not showing the previous software version. It is showing the complete form list including the new forms which are currently hidden as they are not in 1-20 for this delivery, but those forms have been started in development.		Can anything be done regarding the screen refresh issues? 12/6 - Harris will look into the options for this. 12/19 – This option is probably not feasible. Suggest that Dick decide if this a defect/bug. 1/7/2013 - MITRE, Stef, and Harris met to discuss. Harris stated that this issue is temporary, and will not occur when all 82 forms have been delivered. Harris has the action to confirm internally.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
32	Physician ID should be auto-populated	1;#1	General	Resolved			Other		This has been reported to development and is the ticket is currently being worked on in Dev.	reference document _____	Duplicate - See Item #1		Item	sites/OIT Close Observation - Duplicate _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List

33	MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION (MI) 2 should not be a required field.	In item 3A, subordinates, MY OCARDIAL INFARCTION (MI) 2 is required when I press yes; but there may not be a second incident and RED does NOTdictate that BOTH are requirements	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960A-4	Resolved	Dagen		Defect	Ticket created to correct UAT-A02- CJM-002.	A second incident is not necessarily required but should be available if desired. RED does not specify an additional as required. 11/14 - Harris will research.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
34	Issues with saving when all required fields are met	11;#11;#10;#10;# 14;#14;#21;#21 Medical History module: Can't save the page and yet all required sections are filled in	Heart Conditions - VA Form 21- 0960A-4	Resolved	Dagen	Critical	Other	This could not be reproduced, but if all errors, including workflow are not resolved the page will not save.		Could not be reproduced - issues with saving	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
35	Initial PTSD Remarks field	The <i>Remarks</i> section will need a large amount of allowed characters – I recommend 30,000 to be on the safe side. Since the DBQ form itself is rather cursory in terms of the information we need to put in a comprehensive forensic mental health evaluation report, we end up putting the bulk of our reports in the <i>Remarks</i> section.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthen	Low	Change Request	This would require additional requirements. As a workaround all documents can be uploaded to DBQs to support longer reports and documents.		Need discussion regarding options for field character limits / loading of supporting documents. 12/6 - - Requirements currently state that this field is 2000 characters. Since this form appears to be a MS Word document (Internal to VA), do we have a little more flexibility than we do with PDF forms?	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE FORM

36	Usability of single line text boxes		The formatting of the <i>Assessment and Functional Impact</i> section needs some work to improve usability. It is hard to read because the text is squeezed into such a narrow space. It would be better to have the text above the box where we enter text instead of squeezing it into a narrow space to the left of the box. Having to scroll horizontally is a hassle. "Designers should never require users to scroll horizontally." – <a href="http://www.usability.gov/pdfs/chapter8.pdf">http://www.usability.gov/pdfs/chapter8.pdf</a>	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthern	Low	Change Request	Design Decision: There are lengthy dropdowns on this page that require the space to display all of the choices. The horizontal scrolling info mentioned is HTML page scrolling, not a single text field	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
37	Physician ID accepts Random Numbers	1;#1;#32;#32	On the <i>Claim</i> section, the form did not automatically generate a Physician ID. However, it did accept a random number.	General;#Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Mark Worthern	High	Other	See response to # 1, duplicate item.	Duplicate - See Item #1	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - Duplicate

38	Social Security Number Formatting and Validation	Also on the <i>Claim</i> section, include instructions for the Social Security Number, such as, “numbers only – no hyphens or dashes.” Otherwise, users receive an error, “Social Security Numbers must be nine digits,” which is confusing because if you type in “123-45-6789” you <i>have</i> included nine digits but the system will not accept it.	General	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthern	Low	Change Request	Two design options- 1) remove validation on this field since the field is SSN/Claim Number 2) remove the SSN/Claim Number field altogether if it's not needed. Need further guidance and direction on final decision.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
39	Address and Phone number Requirement	On the <i>Claim</i> form, if the address and phone number are not needed, perhaps they should simply be eliminated from the form.	General;#Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthern	Low	Change Request	Requirement change. Need further guidance and direction on final decision.	Where are the requirements for the Claim form?	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
40	Entering in the Clinician Name and Phone Number	It would be nice if the system would pre-populate the Psychiatrist/Psych ologist printed name and phone number	General	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worken	Low	Change Request	Requirement change. Need further guidance and direction on final decision.	Pre-populate	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

41	Missing questions from Medical History	In the Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions DBQ, the test script states in step 24 that questions, 2A, 2B, and 2D would appear on the screen. In reality the system displayed 2A, 2B and 13A.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved		Low	Test Script	This is an error in the test script. The system should display 2A, 2B, and 13A.	Update test script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script
42	Inconsistent Data Errors - Medical History	When filling out the Medical History section of the Neck (Cervical Spine) DBQ, I purposely added more than 4,000 characters in the description fields of questions 2A and 2B. The Errors Messages for each were inconsistent while the questions were almost identical with the same error. Error Message for question 2A: <a href="#">2A. DESCRIBE THE HISTORY (including onset and course) OF THE VETERAN'S CERVICAL SPINE (neck) CONDITION (brief summary): cannot be greater than 4000 characters.</a> Error Message for	General;#Nec k (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Presented to Contractor	Tony Curington	Low	Change Request	Subordinates do not have a number refrence and therefor do not have a number refrence in the error message. This is a core function of the tool. We can update the labels to show parent question number on the children if that's required, but we should come up with a standard (like 2A.1)	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

43	The timing of the Timeout	General	Presented to Contractor	Tony Curington	Low	Change Request		Core entellitrak functionality. We can make the timeout longer with a change to the requirements.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
----	---------------------------	---------	-------------------------	----------------	-----	----------------	--	---	--	------	--	----------------

44	PDF Form does not Include Check	When answering question 13A of the DBQ Medical History, DOES THE VETERAN USE ANY ASSISTIVE DEVICE(S) AS A NORMAL MODE OF LOCOMOTION, ALTHOUGH OCCASIONAL LOCOMOTION BY OTHER METHODS MAY BE POSSIBLE? When selecting "Yes" for a particular Assistive Device, the Yes is not translated to a check in the checkbox on the PDF.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved	Laura Hodges, Tony Curington	Low	Defect		Ticket UAT-A13-CJM-002 opened to correct.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
45	Neck and Cervical Spine Script Errors	The Neck and Cervical Spine Test Script, step 33 states the following: The "Physical Exam" module appears displaying the questions/options from sections 7, 12, 5, 6, and 8 of the Neck (Cervical Spine) DBQ However when going into the Physical Exam module of the DBQ system questions, 3, 4, 9, & 14 are also listed.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved	Laura Hodges	Low	Test Script		This is in reference to the Test Script and not the DBQ functionality.	Update test script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script



46	Need ability to change certain "normal" values to abnormal values after "All Normal" is selected.	In Item 7A, after selecting "ALL Normal" the user would like the ability to de-select a few. The goal of the 'all normal' was to pick all the normal boxes (pick boxes indicating that patient is all normal when have several boxes that have to fill in) and then make changes to a few of them only (so change to the abnormal findings for the few boxes) – but it can't do that	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Pending Resolution	Haddad	Low	Defect	Item 7A is a design function and follows the requirements. Ticket UAT-A13-CJM-04 has been placed to look at other redesign options.	Question 7 requirement in DBQ:If the Actor changes a value from normal to not normal then the system unselects the All Normal selection. In turn, selection of the All Normals again the system shall re-apply the all normal settings.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
47	"If yes" subordinate options are displayed when "No" is selected.	Item 10A, When "No" is selected, subordinate values for "Yes" are displayed and can be selected. This should not happen.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Presented to Contractor	Haddad	Low	Change Request	The Requirements only state that the subordinates of 10A ( 10B,10c, and 10D) are hidden.	Confirmed that RED states that only 10B, 10c, and 10D are required		Item	sites/OIT Change Request _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List

48	Item 1B- ICD Code and Date of Diagnosis should be required for the 2nd and 3rd diagnosis also.	In Item 1B, there are 3 sets of fields for Diagnosis, ICD Code, and Date of Diagnosis. for the first set, if a Diagnosis is enter, the system requires corresponding ICD Code and Date of Diagnosis values. However if a second or third diagnosis is entered, the system does not require corresponding ICD and Date values.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved	Haddad	Low	Defect		Ticket UAT-A13-CJM-003 opened to correct.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
----	--	---	---	----------	--------	-----	--------	--	---	--	------	---	------------------------------------

49	Remarks should not be required if a positive diagnosis made	The system requires the user to enter remarks in item 17, and remarks should not be required. The user selected yes for Question 1A, and the requirement for 1A states: 3.If “No”, Actor must enter descriptive text in Remarks.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Pending Resolution	Haddad	High	Defect	Scripting is required to make a field required based on a response in another section.  Training issue instead is to have the user enter N/A if they have nothing else to add in the required remarks field.  This can be relaxed if it's deemed necessary but is not recommended as users would save a blank record.	Design Decision; all remarks sections will be required to prevent empty records in the database for sections that contain only 1 question.	11/15 - Core entellitrak product requires at least one field on the screen to be required.  Actor should insert "No Remarks".	The design prohibits this requirement from being met. The Remarks field should only be marked required if "No" is selected in 1A. Instead, it has to be marked as a required field because there is only one question/field in the Remarks module. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - the end of section 2.6, Completing an Examination Global Rule (Appendix C and Figure 4, states " ...If the Actor answers “No” to the DBQ question asking for diagnosis which effectively negates the need to complete the	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
50	Text mismatch on screen versus form	In item 4B, the text on the screen does not match the text on the form.	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Pending Resolution	Haddad	Low	Defect		Ticket submitted to change wording for Physical Exam Q4B.		ticket opened		Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List

51	Cannot select extremeties, must answer Yes or No	In item 6A, the script states: select the "NO FUNCTIONAL LOSS FOR RIGHT LOWER EXTREMITY" checkbox, AND the "WEAKENED MOVEMENT" checkbox. The system requires a Yes or No for each, no checkbox.	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Erdulfo Romero	Low	Test Script	This is in reference to the test script and not the DBQ functionality.	Update test script -- for future script development we will need design documentation	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script	
52	Need "All Normal" options for Section VIII and Section IX	In sections VIII and Section IX, there is no option to select "All Normal"	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Presented to Contractor	Romero	Low	Change Request	No requirements for All Normal for Q8 or Q9. Only for Section IV Q4A-4F.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request	
53	Typo on screen	In item 9A and 9B, there are typos on the screen.	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Laura Hodges	Low	Defect	Ticket submitted to change "ANTERIOR" to "POSTERIOR" in Q9B in Physical Exam section. (Q9A is ANTERIOR). For Q9C, change "Apply" to "(Apply", change "kneww" to "knee".	S. Kennedy wrote: Typos in 9A and 9B were reported. However, I see a typo in 9C, but not 9A and 9B. 9C: <i>Apply valgus/varus pressure to kneww in extension and 30 degrees of flexion</i>	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression

54	Need option to select all that apply	Item 15A, should have the ability to select all that apply instead of "yes/no"	General;#Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Pending Resolution	Haddad	Low	Defect	We can create a dummy multi checkbox to demonstrate the reason we did not go with creating multi-checkboxes for such questions. Two examples will be provided.	Design Decision because of COTS product ability to place subordinates beneath each selection. See also issue #63. Possible design changes to make subordinate questions NOT required.	11-15 - entellitrak does not have the ability to relate multi-selects fields to another field.  Option, can we default to "No Answer" for the fields.  Can we use the freestyle format so that the check boxes are all independent check box fields for each. Different designs are available, "stack versus freestyle".  Will involve more scripting. VA is looking for the non-scripting options. Harris will research.	For discussion - Yes/No radio buttons versus, check all that apply -- 11/29 - CHECK WITH TONY - NON SCRIPTING SOLUTION? 11/30 - Per Tony, the only non scripting solution would be a drop-down list of checkboxes, but this design does not allow for the system to take certain actions based on boxes that are checked (which is required in this case). 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - Various requirements to "check all that apply", none are marked "out of scope" 12/19 - Defect. Harris has	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
----	--------------------------------------	--	--	--------------------	--------	-----	--------	--	---	---	--	------	------	---

55	Frequency of use drop down is displayed even if "NO" is selected	Section 15 - Frequency of use drop down appears and stays up even if select NO for that device but page Saves even if don't select anything. Further, can put in occasional in a device frequency even if say NO in terms of use - AND THEN not obvious how to undo it (have to pick a blank line - but not obvious that one has that choice)	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Aaron Dagen	Low	Defect		Submitted a ticket to hide subordinate drop down if No is selected for a device in Medical History section Q15A.  All drop downs can be cleared by selecting the blank line which is the first choice in the drop down.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT Close - Resolved via _IDIQ/VL Regression ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
56	User has ability to answer subordinate choices meant for a "Yes" answer	Item 15 - When "No" is selected the user can still answer subordinate choices meant for a "Yes" answer. Clinicians are concerned about auditing -- need system to help with efficiency and error reduction.	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Haddad	Low	Other		Covered in ticket for above issue #55. Duplicate Defect.	duplicate - see item #55	Item	sites/OIT Close Observation - _IDIQ/VL Duplicate ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List

57	Instruction Page	Upon saving a claim, the old DBQ list comes out and stayed up for a while - confusing. Further, though not in RED - an instruction page saying when VA won't pay for filling out this form comes up with several choices (save, submit, spell check, schema, delete) - not clear as to whether should save this page (and why would one save this page or do anything to it?)	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Dagen	Low	Other		The old DBQ list is not being displayed. The list displayed briefly during the refresh is the list of all DBQ forms and includes some that are currently hidden as they are not yet available for use. The VA instructions and note to physician are from the top of the PDF form. This is the main DBQ page for submitting the DBQ, deleting the DBQ, and uploading documents that support the DBQ. See also issue #60. We could remove the SAVE button from this form.	Duplicate - See Item #31	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
58	If "No" is selected, subordinate choices meant for a "Yes" answer should not be displayed.	Items 11, 6C, 4A, and 4C - the user should not be able to enter text or make a selection for subordinate choices if "No" is selected.	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Haddad	Low	Defect		Entered a ticket to hide subordinate drop downs for subordinate y/n questions when No is selected for questions 11, 6C, 4A, and 4C in Physical Exam section.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression

59	"SSN/Claim Number" & "SSN" fields on claim should match	Claim form - FFor future: Should there be a check to validate that the second use of patient SSN is same as first use of SSN	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Presented to Contractor	Dagen	Low	Change Request		Previous discussion on fact that a veteran can have an 8-digit SSN. There is a requirement for 9-digits for the first SSN field in order to save the claim page even if the allowed value of 000000000 is entered. Then the second SSN field will allow the 8-digit SSN. Should not be classified as a defect.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
60	Page refresh and Instruction Page Issues	When selected Physical Exam, received a screen that makes no sense. Eventually went to correct screen but incorrect screen was on for a while - was very confusing, disconcerting. When tried AGAIN (going back to pick Physical Exam) got the FIRST INSTRUCTION PAGE (different than the page I got when I picked it up previously)	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Dagen	Low	Other		This is a duplicate of issue #57.	duplicate	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - Duplicate



61	6C is not displayed when 6A is "yes", and it should be	Item 6C should be displayed if EITHER 6A or 6B are "Yes". The system only displays 6C, if 6B is "Yes".	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Pending Resolution	Haddad	Low	Defect	Ticket submitted to correct. UAT-A11-CLM-007	Requirements only state to display 6C when 6B is Yes.	In the comments to the requirements in this section (in RED), Harris confirms that 6C should also be displayed if 6A is yes. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - this requirement is not marked "out of scope" 12/19 - Defect. Harris has presented a new design option to meet the requirement -- Option 2 is the desired choice. 12/19 - Harris has opened a ticket for this issue.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Defect - Regression test when available
62	Data not correctly displayed on PDF	Error. Step 87. Verify that all data entered in this script, appears on the DBQ form. All data NOT correctly displayed on the DBQ.	General	Resolved	Romero	Low	Other	Need specific question numbers and elements. Also would be helpful to know which claim tracking number generated the PDF, if data is still saved in the system.	Skennedy wrote: I followed up with Dr. Romero asking for specific data elements.	Dr. Romero could not remember the specific fields.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced

63	In Section XV - All fields are marked required and should not be	In Section XV -All fields are marked required: Wheelchair, braces, crutches, cane, walker, other, and they should not be.	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Romero	Low	Other		Covered in ticket for above item #54. Duplicate Defect.	duplicate- see #54	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate	
64	Cannot de-select radio buttons	"Item 13A, if you happen to click two items (one by mistake) you can not remove that item".	General;#Nec k (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved	Beverly Gilgraine	Low	Other		This is a functionality of the entellitrak tool. Can use a drop down with Yes/No/Null as athe values if preferred. Requirement was for boolean which is yes/no.	S.Kennedy wrote: I believe this is the same issue reported yesterday regading the "Yes/No" radio buttons.	duplicate - see #15	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
65	Diagnosis not exported to PDF	the PDF did not copy over the diagnosis and then it wiped it away even though I entered it and saved it.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved	Beverly Gilgraine	Low	Other		This could not be reproduced. In the TEST environment the diagnosis successfully mapped over to the PDF appropriately.	Retested and could not replicate either	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced	

66	13C - limited to one selection	"In item 13C, select 'right upper' & 'bilateral upper' "-----can only choose one or the other, not both	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Pending Resolution	Brenda Howard	Low	Defect	Ticket submitted to correct. UAT-A13-CJM-007	Can you please provide the DBQ this is in refrence to?	Skennedy wrote: Form states: (If "Yes," indicate extremity(ies) (check all extremities for which this applies)	This observation is for the Neck (Cervical Spine) DBQ. This issue was reproduced during a second test, and is a defect. The user should be able to select all that apply; Instead this item was designed as a drop-down box, prohibiting the user from selecting more than one. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - this requirement is not marked "out of scope" 12/19 - Harris has opened a ticktet for this issue.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available
67	Question in script, not on DBQ	Comment: This is not a question in the neck DBQs template. 88-89 In item 15a subordinate question "IS ARTHRITIS (DEGENERATIVE JOINT DISEASE) DOCUMENTED, Select "yes".The system allows the user to select "yes" and the subordinate "percent loss" text box is displayed.	General;#Nec k (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved		Low	Test Script		This is in reference to the test script and not the DBQ functionality.		Update script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script

68	Script does not state that required fields should appear	Item 10A. DOES THE VETERAN HAVE RADICULAR PAIN OR ANY OTHER SIGNS OR SYMPTOMS DUE TO RADICULOPATHY?, select "yes" comment: Required fields for constant pain right and left extremity appears. Also in 10 c and D.: All fields are required.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Resolved		Low	Test Script	This is in reference to the test script and not the DBQ functionality	Update script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - update script
69	Data not exported to PDF	Data is not correctly displayed on the DBQ. Missing fields:Section III 3A-G. SECTION IV 4 B-G; SECTION V 5A-C; SECTION VI 6AB; Section VII 7AB; Section VIII 8; SectionIX 9. I did repeat the template again and I did get missing fields.	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Pending Resolution	Romero	Low	Defect	When generating the PDF I could only the "All Normal" box not checked in 8 and 9. A ticket has been entered UAT-A13-CJM-001. All other information mapped over to the PDF appropriately.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Defect - Regression test when available

70	Modules should be visible at all times - Breadcrumbs not user friendly	Suggestion: When you Click the "Neck (Cervical Spine)" link at the top of the page (from the "breadcrumb" links) to return to the list of modules on the left. The History, Physical Exam, diagnostic testing, etc should be visible at all times so that you can toggle back and forth to history, physical exam, or diagnostic etc. After we save at each step, we should not have to click "Neck (Cervical Spine)" to go to the next module on the left (medical history, physical exam, diagnostic testing, assessment and	Neck (Cervical Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-13	Presented to Contractor	Romero	Low	Change Request	This is an additional requirement and would need additional scripting. RC: default entellitrak function is to show current object and its children. If no children exist for an object, the users only see the current one.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
----	--	--	--	-------------------------	--------	-----	----------------	---	---	------	------	---	----------------

71	Medical History - missing fields for frequency, severity, and duration	Under <i>Medical History</i> , Number 4a (PTSD Disgnostic Criteria) and Number 5 (Symptoms) do not contain fields for symptom frequency, severity, and duration. Those symptom characteristics are specified in the federal regulations: 38 CFR § 4.126(a) states, "When evaluating a mental disorder, the rating agency shall consider the frequency, severity, and duration of psychiatric symptoms..."	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthen	Low	Change Request		Item 4a and 5 reflect requirements and the current DBQ form and are working as designed.	Skennedy wrote: This sounds like a change to the form. I don't see these fields on the form either.	Change to form -- out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM
72	Increase width of field and remove drop-down menu	Under <i>Assessment and Functional Impact, Item 1. Diagnostic Summary</i> the drop down menu for "If no diagnosis of PTSD, check all that apply:" would not be necessary if the size of the field box were widened. o Drop-down menus are annoying if they are not really necessary.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Mark Worthen	Low	Defect	A global script will be created to widen all text fields and force text to wrap to the next line	Harris is reviewing to see if we can make a global change to widen many of the smaller text boxes.		See #30 12/6 - Per Harris, the width of drop-down fields can be increased. This is a defect	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

73	Typo on screen	Under <i>Assessment and Functional Impact</i> , there is a typo in Number 4 (Occupational and social impairment): Veteran???'s	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Mark Worthen	Low	Other	Could not be reproduced. There were no erroneous question marks in the DBQ.	Skennedy wrote: i did not find a typo. Not sure if he is loong for	could not replicate issue	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
74	Error messages are confusing	Minor point: If the examiner forgets to fill in a required section, he/she receives a message like this one: o Does the Veteran have a diagnosis of PTSD that conforms to DSM-IV criteria based on todays evaluation? is business required. o The phrase “is business required” is a little confusing because it is not a common phrase used by medical professionals. A less confusing phrase would be, “is required.”	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthen	Low	Change Request	The phrase of "is business required" is function of the COTS tool.		Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

75	Hard to review information entered, due to field width & height	Also under <i>Assessment and Functional Impact</i> , Number 2 (Current Diagnoses), the Comments boxes are hard to review if you type in more than a few words. You have to scroll back and forth horizontally within the box. This will drive examiners crazy. <i>This observation applies to many of the boxes in this section, e.g., 2b and 2c, and in other sections.</i>	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Low	Defect	A global script will be created to widen all text fields and force text to wrap to the next line	The current Validation Requirement is for 255 characters. A ticket UAT-A18-CJM-01 opened to make the current box larger. See Item response to 72.	This observation was not identified in Harris's list of observations covered by tickets. Is there a ticket open for this?	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Defect - Regression test when available
----	---	--	--	--------------------	-----	--------	--	---	---	------	---	---



76	The "If no, provide reason ..." field should not be required	Also under <i>Assessment and Functional Impact</i> , Number 4c, if you select “No diagnosis of TBI,” the program makes the box next to “If no, provide reason that it is not possible to differentiate what portion of the indicated level of occupational and social impairment is attributable to each diagnosis:” a <i>Required</i> field, which does not make sense.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthen	Low	Change Request	The RED Document states: IF "No" or "No diagnosis of TBI" the Actor must enter "provide reason" descriptive text. This is working as designed.	Skennedy wrote: This requirement is not stated in RED, but it does seem to working properly, per the form. Form states: c. If a diagnosis of TBI exists, is it possible to differentiate what portion of the occupational and social impairment indicated above is caused by the TBI? ___Yes ___No ___no diagnosis of TBI  If no, provide reason that it is not possible to differentiate what portion of the indicated level of occupational and social impairment is attributable to each diagnosis: _____ _____ _____	Harris's response is correct, both in RED and on the form -----c. If a diagnosis of TBI exists, is it possible to differentiate what portion of the occupational and social impairment indicated above is caused by the TBI? 0 Yes 0 No 0 no diagnosis of TBI If no, provide reason that it is not possible to differentiate what portion of the indicated level of occupational and social impairment is attributable to each diagnosis: _____ _____ _____	Item	sites/OIT Change Request - REQUEST _IDIQ/VL TO CHANGE OMB FORM ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
----	--	--	--	-------------------------	--------------	-----	----------------	--	--	--	------	---

77	Request for field length expansion form 2000 to 20000 chars	4. Occupational and social impairment, item C I received this message: o If no, provide reason that it is not possible to differentiate what portion of the indicated level of occupational and social impairment is attributable to each diagnosis: cannot be greater than 2000 characters. o 2000 characters is not enough for that field. I recommend 20,000 to be on the safe side.	General	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthen	Low	Change Request	Comments or documents larger than 2000 characters can be uploaded as a document to the DBQ. Comments can then be entered into the "If no, Provide reason" text box that supporting documents have been attached.	Need discussion regarding options for field character limits / loading of supporting documents. 12/6 - Requirements currently state that this field is 2000 characters. Since this form appears to be a MS Word document (Internal to VA), do we have a little more flexibility than we do with PDF forms?	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE FORM
----	---	--	---------	-------------------------	--------------	-----	----------------	--	--	------	---	---

78	PDF issues - Diagnostic Summary	<div>· When I printed out the PDF, this appeared:</div> <div><b>Diagnostic Summary</b></div> <div>This section should be completed based on the current examination and clinical findings. Does the Veteran have a diagnosis of PTSD that conforms to DSM-IV criteria based on todays evaluation? X Yes No ICD code:</div> <div>If no diagnosis of PTSD, check all that apply: <b>X</b>Veteran's symptoms do not meet the diagnostic</div>	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Mark Worthen	Low	Defect	CJM -A ticket UAT-A18-CJM-01 is opened for the unmapped Diagnoses. - The typo of todays on the DBQ/PDF is exactly the same as the original DBQ and can not be changed.CJM	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
----	---------------------------------------	--	--	-----------------------	--------------	-----	--------	---	---------------	------	---

79	Physician ID not saved on PDF	The Physician ID field (on the claim form) is not saved on the final PDF.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Resolved	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Training Issue	This is a requirement in the initial claim information for the DBQ but there is no requirement or place on the PDF for this information.	Explain why Physician ID is captured in system but does not exist on DBQ. ----The System captures the Physician's License Number from the Certification and Signature module, and populates the Physcian Medical Licence Number field in the SECTION XVII - PHYSICIAN'S CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE of the PDF form. The Physician ID field on the claim is NOT transferred to the PDF.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue - Physician ID is the Login User ID of the Physician	
80	System requires only 1 symptom; 3 should be required	4 PTSD Diagnostic Criteria, Criterion C, Entered only one symptom (3 required) with a diagnosis of PTSD did not hit an Alert. Possible to diagnosis PTSD without meeting DSM IV criteria	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Defect	The DBQ functions appropriately as laid out in the Requirements. But the DBQ needs to function as laid out in the form instructions. A Ticket UAT-A18-CJM-002 has been opened.	Skennedy wrote: there seems to be an discrepancy between the form and the requirements. The Requirements state tha the actor must make at least ONE selection but the form states that 3 selections must be made.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

81	Hearing Loss and Tinnitus Screen Error	When saving the Diagnostic Testing section of the Hearing Loss and Tinnitus DBQ I received a strange screen that I cannot get away from. Attached are two screen shots. The first is the screen I received when I saved it. The second is the screen I got when I tried to navigate away from the first screen. HearingLoss and Tinnitus Screen Error.docx	Hearing Loss and Tinnitus	Pending Resolution	Tony Curington	High	Defect	This is not an defect but a different view showing all available records for the listing. 12/20 - Harris received the screen shots and fixed the issue. This observation will be regression tested in the next build.	User Training. For breadcrumb navigation always use "Section Name" and not "Section Name Listing". Except for "DBQ Listing" which gives you access to go to the desired form from your list of all forms. Just as you do in the DBQ Listing, you may go to your desired section by clicking on the small arrow icon for the record being displayed. Or you can go back one level to the main page for the form using the breadcrumbs and then choose the section from the left tab. This is not an error but a different view showing all available records for the listing.	Need discussion -- Did Harris receive the screen shots and were they understood. 12/6 - Yes, Harris received the screen shots and fixed the issue. This observation will be regression tested in the next build. 12/19 – On 12/6, Harris stated that this issue was fixed. However, the comments received on 12/17 state that this is not a defect. Please explain. 1/7/2013 - Per Harris's 12/20 Response to Action, the original disposition of "defect" has been confirmed.	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	
82	System requires only 1 symptom; 2 should be required	4a, Criterion D - entering only one symptom (2 required) with a diagnosis of PTSD did not hit an Alert. Possible to diagnosis PTSD without meeting DSM IV criteria	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Defect		The DBQ functions appropriately as laid out in the Requirements. But the DBQ needs to function as laid out in the form instructions. A Ticket UAT-A18-CJM-002 has been opened.	Skennedy wrote: Discrepancy between RED and form. Form states that 2 symptoms are required. RED states that 1 is required.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List

83	See Item 80 & 82 - -- Duration should not be allowed since DSM IV not met	4a, Criterion E - Can enter Duration even when DSM IV diagnosis of PTSD is not met (see above).	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Defect		The DBQ functions appropriately as laid out in the Requirements. But the DBQ needs to function as laid out in the form instructions. A Ticket UAT-A18- CJM-002 has been opened.	Skennedy wrote: This is a defect based on the fact that the DSM IV diagnosis of PTSD is not met.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available
84	See Item 80 & 82 - -- Stressor should not be allowed since DSM IV not met	4b - Which stressor(s) contributed to the Veterans PTSD diagnosis Can enter Stressor for diagnosis of PTSD even when Criteria C and D not met. No Alert to deal with inconsistency	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Defect		The DBQ functions appropriately as laid out in the Requirements. But the DBQ needs to function as laid out in the form instructions. A Ticket UAT-A18- CJM-002 has been opened.	Skennedy Wrote: This is a defect due to the fact that the DSM IV diagnosis of PTSD has not been met. See Observations 80 and 82.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

85	Need an option for "No symptoms found"	Item 5 - Symptoms No option for when No Symptoms are found. This is a required field that will not allow you to go forward if you do not find a symptom, even if none exist	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans & Dr. Worthen	Low	Change Request	This should be a change request as the RED requirement to have at least one selected is met. There is no option on the PDF for when No Symptoms are found. This would be a new data element that doesn't exist in the current DBQ.	The Requirements document states that at least one symptom must be selected.	Skennedy wrote: RED states that the actor must select at least one. The form state "check all symptoms that apply", allowing for no selection.	RED does state that the actor must select at least one. However, the form states: "For VA rating purposes, check all symptoms that apply to the Veterans diagnoses." Therefore, if no symptoms apply, the user should not be forced to select one. The OMB form supersedes RED. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - this requirement is not marked "out of scope". 12/19 – Dick will have to determine the disposition. 1/7/2013 - MITRE, Stef, and Harris met to discuss. Since the requirement in	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - Review with Dick -
86	Item 6 not saved on PDF	The answer to Item 6. "Does the Veteran have any other symptoms attributable to PTSD (and other mental disorders) that are not listed above?" not printed on PDF	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Defect		A ticket has been opened to ensure that all PDF mapping is correct. UAT-A18-CJM-01		ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

87	See Items 80 & 82 - Can Enter diagnosis of PTSD even when DSM IV not met	Can enter a diagnosis of PTSD even when DSM IV Criteria are not met on Medical History Page--- Need an Alert	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Defect		The DBQ functions appropriately as laid out in the Requirements. But the DBQ needs to function as laid out in the form instructions. A Ticket UAT-A18-CJM-002 has been opened.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available	
88	Do not make the ICD Code field required.	Item 2b, Too cumbersome for mental health professionals to require Medical ICD codes and is outside the scope of practice to do so	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request		The DBQ Web Based System isn't interfaced with other VA systems or other systems that can pull in that data.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request	
89	System requires items 2c and 2d	Items 2c. Axis IV, and 2d Axis V Script states that the system should not require these fields System does require these to be filled out! As it should for the required full 5 Axis DSM IV diagnostic requirements.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Test Script		For Assessment and Functional Impact, under section 2 Current Diagnoses items 2 b. Axis III ,2c. Axis IV , 2d Axis V are required on the DBQ.	Skennedy wrote: RED states "Actor must enter descriptive text" for both of these fields. But 2c appears to be optional in the form - - "describe, if any"	Update script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script
90	Data entered in item 7 does not appear on the PDF	Item 7. Is the Veteran capable of managing his or her financial affairs? Data enter in item 7 does not appear on the PDF.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Other		This could not be reproduced When the PDF is printed the Yes/No box is marked and the Explain data fields are all completed appropriately.	Retested for both Yes and No responses and could not replicate this issue.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced	



91	Remarks should be required	Item 8. The script does not require the user to enter remarks, and the system did not enforce. I believe this is a conceptual error in the system, because without required Remarks, the basis of the opinions above are not recorded.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request		For all DBQs having a remarks section it is required. This is a design decision since there is only one text box on this section. If the user does not want to leave a remark they can submit without saving that section.	Skennedy wrote: RED states that Remarks are optional	For the Initial PTSD DBQ, neither the RED nor the PDF form states that Remarks are required.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
92	Need larger Remarks field to review text	Item 8. Remarks section does not allow you to open to a larger window to adequately review for accuracy. All Comments windows and History descriptions should do this as well as is the case with the current CAPRI DBQs	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Dr. Barton Evans & Dr. Worthen	Low	Other		The remarks sections was intended for brief comments. The system allows for uploads of documents if further explanations or documentation are needed		Duplicate - CR for #35 will apply to all DBQs	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
93	Request to have the data on the "claim" form already in the system.	Question? Why are medical and mental health professionals wasting valuable time entering this information? Why is it not already in the system as it is for the CAPRI system	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request		The DBQ Web Based System isn't interfaced with other VA systems.		out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

94	Asking for the stressor again is not required statutorily for Review PTSD exams	Item 3, Criterion A Stressor (s) were previously determined on the Initial PTSD examination and re-asking for the stressor is not required statutorily for Review PTSD exams. This is a problem with the CAPRI DBQ as well and should be addressed now that changes are more easily made.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request	Item 3 Criterion A reflects the requirements and the current DBQ form and are working as designed.	Skennedy wrote: This would be a change to the form.	Change to form -- out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM
95	No error displayed for insufficient symptoms	Item 3, Criterion E (Duration of Symptoms) and F (Clinically significant distress or impairment), This system does not show an Alert when there are insufficient symptoms on Criteria B, C or D to meet DSM IV diagnostic requirements.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request	Item 3 Criterion E reflects the requirements and the current DBQ form and are working as designed.	Skennedy wrote: No mention of DSM IV in RED. RED does not describe insufficient symptoms	Harris's response is correct. Neither RED nor the form mention the need to meet DSM IV diagnostic requirements.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

96	Need option for "no symptoms found"	Item 5. FOR VA RATING PURPOSES, CHECK ALL SYMPTOMS THAT APPLY TO THE VETERAN'S DIAGNOSES This does not allow for a No Symptoms option, i.e. a flag requiring the listing of symptoms comes up, even if there are no symptoms of PTSD or mental disorder found on the examination. This is a flaw that needs to be fixed.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request	This should be a change request as the RED requirement to have at least one selected is met. There is no option on the PDF for when No Symptoms are found. This would be a new data element that doesn't exist in the current DBQ.	Item 5 reflects the requirements and the current DBQ form and are working as designed.	Skennedy wrote: RED states that the Actor must select at least one; Form states "check all the apply", (therefore option for "none" not needed.)	This is actually item 4 on the Review PTSD form (item 5 on the initial PTSD form). RED does state that the actor must select at least one. However, the form states: "For VA rating purposes, check all symptoms that apply to the Veterans diagnoses." Therefore, if no symptoms apply, the user should not be forced to select one. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - this requirement is not marked "out of scope". The OMB supercedes the RED. 12/19 – Dick will have to determine the disposition.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - Review with Dick
97	ICD Code not used for PTSD/mental disorder examinations	Item 2A ICD Code, and item 2B ICD Code. By statute, we do not use ICD codes for PTSD/mental disorder examinations, but DSM IV. This is a problem with CAPRI as well and needs to be fixed on this iteration.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request		The form agrees with the PDF and the requirements. The current PDF uses the term ICD and we cannot change the forms.		out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM

98	Request to remove ICD Code	Item 2B. AXIS III - MEDICAL DIAGNOSES (TO INCLUDE TBI) COMMENTS IF ANY This requires entering an ICD code, but most C&P mental health examiners are not medical professionals and therefore are not qualified to make a medical diagnosis. I recommend an open text book instead of an ICD code. Also you cannot leave this blank if there are no Axis III disorders. You cannot save or navigate to the next section.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request	The form agrees with the PDF and the requirements. The current PDF uses the term ICD and we cannot change the forms.	out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM
----	----------------------------	---	--	----------------------------	------------------	-----	----------------	--	--------------	------	---	---

99	Need larger text fields to review data	Text box for item 6 6. IS THE VETERAN CAPABLE OF MANAGING HIS OR HER FINANCIAL AFFAIRS? If no, explain", needs to be larger so that the user can review the information Like with the Initial PTSD, none of the Comment boxes, History Boxes and Remark section Boxes expand as they did with CAPRI making it extremely difficulty and time consuming to edit these boxes. I think this is a serious flaw that needs to be addressed. In fact, I believe I made these comments on the early draft of these DBQs. From my	Review Post	Pending Resolution	Dr Barton Evans	Low	Defect	A global script will be created to widen all text fields and force text to wrap to the next line	Harris is reviewing to see if we can make a global change to widen many of the smaller text boxes.	12/6 - Requires scripting. Harris is working on a level of effort/cost estimate to resolve the expandable text issues. All issues related to expandable text fields will be categorized as defects.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available	
100	Cannot save if a second disorder is listed	Cannot save if there is no ICD code for Axis III, even if there is not a second disorder is listed and all boxes are completed, the module will not save and gives a Workflow message that is inaccurate. When I erased the second diagnosis and reedit.	Review Post	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request		For Assessment and Functional Impact, under section 2 Current Diagnoses items 2 b. Axis III ,2c. Axis IV , 2d Axis V are required on the DBQ.	Skennedy wrote: It looks like the system is performing per the RED for the first statement regarding Axis III. I'm not sure what the issue is in the second part.	For Axis III, RED states "descriptiive text is required". The DBQ form does not indicate whether this field is required or not. Therefore, there is no evidence that a requirement is not being met, so this will have to be considered a change request.		Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

101	Remarks text box needs to be expandable	Item 7. Remarks text box needs to be expandable.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Resolved	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Other	The remarks sections was intended for brief comments. The system allows for uploads of documents if further explanations or documentation are needed	Duplicate - CR for #35 will apply to all DBQs	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
102	Request to modify Certification page	Physician Certification - Too much information to fill in. This information (address, phone number, license number, etc.) was not required in CAPRI and again should be autofilled for VA examiners. This takes away valuable time. Should be prepopulated	General	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans & Dr. Worthen	Low	Change Request	Potential future change request.	Where are the requirements for the Certification form?	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

103	Request to expand text and comment boxes on Mental Disorders DBQ	Item 1, 1A, 1B, 1C - As with all Text and Comment boxes, they are not expandable, which makes editing and viewing of them extremely cumbersome. We NEED expandable boxes like we had with CAPRI. Item 2A thru 2G- With Initial Mental Disorders exams, we are required to enter a fairly lengthy and complete history, so expandable Text and Comment boxes are critical. Needs more than 4000 characters - 20,000 would be good 3A - User has to scroll horizontally just to read the items	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans & Dr. Low Worthen	Change Request	Harris is reviewing to see if we can make a global change to widen many of the smaller text boxes. Specific requirements for each of the fields were met. Requirements change can be implemented on each field to match these suggestions	12/6 - Requires scripting. Harris is working on a level of effort/cost estimate to resolve the expandable text issues. All issues related to expandable text fields will be categorized as defects.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
104	Request for a "No Symptoms" option	Item 3 No option for No Symptoms. If at least one symptom is not endorsed than you get an error message.	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans & Dr. Low Worthen	Change Request	Medical History Q3: Request to change the field to not required? If we add an option for No Symptoms we cannot translate that to the PDF form.	Skennedy wrote: RED states "at least one item is required to be selected"	system working per requirements	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request

105	Do not require ICD Codes (change request)		Mental health examiners do not use ICD codes for mental disorders, but rather DSM IV codes. This was a problem with CAPRI and should be changed on this edition.	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request		The form agrees with the PDF and the requirements. If we change the form, the PDF will still say ICD as we cannot change the forms.	Change to form -- out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM
106	Request to remove ICD code	105;#105	In item 1B. AXIS III MEDICAL DIAGNOSES (TO INCLUDE TBI), ICD CODE, This should be a text box and not a specific medical code. Most mental health examiners are not M.D./D.O./APN etc and providing a medical diagnosis is beyond the scope of their practice. We could enter text on CAPRI without being required to enter an ICD code.	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Barton Evans	Low	Change Request		The form agrees with the PDF and the requirements. If we change the form, the PDF will still say ICD as we cannot change the forms.	Change to form -- out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM
107	Item 1D Axix V should be required		A GAF score is required statutorily for all PTSD/Mental disorders exams. This should be a required box.	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Resolved	Dr. Barton Evans & Dr. Worthen	Low	Test Script	Q1D for GAF score is marked required in both Test and Test2. Requirements say optional for the comment .	Skennedy wrote: Script error	The system is functioning properly. The scripts were written against RED. Therefore, since the requirement in RED states that this field is optional, the script was incorrect.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script



108	Need to expand character limit for several fields	Item 2a thru 2e -- 4000 characters will not be enough in some cases - need at least 10,000	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Worthen	Low	Change Request	Comments or documents larger than 4000 characters can be uploaded as a document to the DBQ. Comments can then be entered into the text box that supporting documents have been attached.	discuss options for expandable text fields 12/6 -- Requirements currently state that this field is 2000 characters. Since this form appears to be a MS Word document (Internal to VA), do we have a little more flexibility than we do with PDF forms?	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE FORM
109	Physician Signature does not appear correctly on PDF	Physician Signature does not appear correctly on PDF	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Worthen	Low	Change Request	The Physician's signature is electronic and does not transfer over to the PDF. It was left blank intentional on the PDF in case the the PDF was to be printed and signed	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

110	Request a field to list the *names* of the medical disorders	Item 1B Axis III - There is no field to list the *names* of the medical disorders.	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Worthen	Low	Change Request	The form and requirements allow for ICD code of length 10 and Comments of length 255. However, the PDF form itself only has room for about 55 characters. The PDF form can not be changed. An alternative is to attached a file and use the space allotted on the PDF form to indicate that a file is attached. Given a list of the disorders, a drop down can be enabled with low effort.	form change - out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM
111	Item 7A does not print on PDF	Item 7A. PSYCHIATRIST/PSYCHOLOGIST/EXAMINER SIGNATURE & TITLE does not print on PDF	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Resolved	Dr. Worthen	Low	Other	No current requirements for electronic signature specifics on the PDF.	Duplicate - CR physician signature will apply to all DBQs	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate

112	Required to Enter 2c and 2D in Review PTSD DBQ	Though told to skip items 2C, Axis IV and 2D Axis V, both required an entry before I could save. Of note is that a GAF must always be provided so this should be a required field. [Both had little R's next to it after the errors Save - need to check if they originally had those Rs)	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Resolved	Tamara Almayda, Dagen post	Low	Test Script	For Assessment and Functional Impact, under section 2 Current Diagnoses items 2 b. Axis III ,2c. Axis IV , 2d Axis V are required on the DBQ.	Update script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script
-----	--	---	--	----------	-------------------------------	-----	-------------	---	---------------	------	---	-----------------------------------

113	Item 6 in Review PTSD DBQ did not provide for comments	In Review PTSD DBQ, question 6, selected "No" for is Veteran capable of managing his or her financial affairs and did not get a comments field. First time this was done, got a work flow message indicating this was not saved. Second time this was done, there were no messages on Save, indicating that the page was saved.	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda; Dagen review	Low	Defect	It appears the conditional yes/no is backwards and a ticket has been opened to correct.- UAT-A19-CJM-001 - Currently the system does not provide a Saved message. Saved message can be implemented with a moderate LOE. Some effort required for scripting and additional for implementing that script on each form	This both a bug and defect. Question 6 should allow for a comment. The bug is that the system should be consistent on whether it saves the form if the comment in question 6 (which cannot be provided) is not provided. In one case it was saved - the second time it was not. The requirement was incorrectly written at first but corrected in the comments section and the change was agreed to. Apparently, though the original requirement was implemented.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
114	Problem with "Generate PDF report" link in Review PTSD DBQ	When clicked the "Generate PDF report" in Review PTSD DBQ I gosystem returned a adobe reader error message saying contents of original document were changed. Further, the pdf did not show all the information that was submitted - it only displayed the name and SSN	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Training Issue	Adobe will return a warning if the reader the tester is using is different that the reader that the form was created in. - All items in the DBQ were mapped to the PDF	The pdf did not reflect information submitted.	adobe messages		Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue

115	Submit Button on Review PTSD generates error	After clicking the Submit button on the Review PTSD DBQ I received the following error:  xTooltipElementSk ip Navigation My Account Password Change Role Loading ...Sign Out Help Home Tracking Inbox Claim New Search Claim Standard Search Reporting My Saved Reports Shared Reports Dashboard Options  System Exception VA DBQ 2.0.0 - talmeyda (VA Clinician)  A problem has	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Pending Resolution	Tamara Almeyda	Critical	Defect	This was resolved in the testing environment on 11/29. UAT can re-test this with the next release to the UAT test environment.	This error could not be reproduced.	Error on pressing Submit button	This error was reproduced and is critical. When the user attempts to submit the Review PTSD DBQ (by clicking the Submit button), a "System Exception" error occurs. This was tested both for an incomplete DBQ and a completed DBQ. The error occurred both times. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - this requirement is not marked "out of scope" 12/19 - conflicting test results. The UAT team will retest in the next build.	Item	sites/OIT	Defect - Regression test	
116	Question 4A Review PTSD DBQ drop down is difficult to read	The drop down menu for question 4A of the Review PTSD DBQ "which of the following best summarizes the Veteran's level of occupational and social impairment with regards to all mental diagnoses" is too long: one cannot read the choices in the drop down button	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Pending Resolution	Aaron Dagen	Low	Defect		The length of the text box is due to the inability of the core tool to wrap the text without additional scripting. Additional options are available with moderate scripting effort	Drop down menu for question 4a of the Review PTSD DBQ is too long - cannot be read	discuss options for expandable text fields. 12/6 Per Harris, the width of drop-down fields can be increased. This is a defect	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT	Defect - Regression test

117	Initial PTSD Diagnostic Criteria Requirement	Under <i>Medical History</i> , Number 4a (PTSD Disgnostic Criteria) and Number 5 (Symptoms) do not contain fields for symptom frequency, severity, and duration. Those symptom characteristics are specified in the federal regulations: <a href="#">38 CFR § 4.126(a)</a> states, “When evaluating a mental disorder, the rating agency shall consider the frequency, severity, and duration of psychiatric symptoms...”	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Mark Worthen	Low	Change Request		The DBQ Reflects correct the requirements and the PDF form.	11/16 - The system accurately reflects the Initial PTSD form. This appears to be a requested change to the form.PSYCH - Initial PTSD DBQ 5-17.doc	Change to form -- out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM
118	Cannot save Medical History in Hearing/Loss Tinnitus DBQ	Received an error message stating that left ear entries was missing	General	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Training Issue		When the History section has all required data entered saving was successful. This was not reproducible.	could not save the the Medical History of Hearing Loss/Tinnitus DBQ because it stated left ear entries were missing. (on second attempt, by just answering all required answers was able to save - or at least did not get error messages). Thus it appears it might be a test script error	Close Observation - Training Issue -- data not saved if errors are present.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue

119	Lose Data in Medical History if it does not save (inconsistent)	In reproducing Tamara Almeyda testing, when did not fill in left ear information, the page was not saved and all the information was lost. When attempted again to enter information and still left out some required fields (validity of puretone test, significant changes in hearing thresholds (both ears)), error messages appeared but the information entered to that point was saved. Thus saving information in error modes for this page appears inconsistent	General	Resolved	Dagen	Low	Training Issue	When all the required data fields are not complete the system will give an error and hold the data while on that page so that all the required data can be entered. If navigating away from the page before completing all required data and saving successfully, data will be lost.	Inconsistent saving of data. This form requires considerable input of information and lost information can be very frustrating. This was also pointed out verbally on the review call, though the precise amount of information put in was not provided.	Close Observation - Training Issue -- data not saved if errors are present.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue
120	Etiology of Tinnitus has an additional required question	Was required to select: "Cannot provide a medical opinion regarding the etiology of the Veteran's tinnitus without resorting to speculation". This is an additiona required field in the DBQ AS and it should not be required.	General	Pending Resolution	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Defect	A ticket has been placed to remove that requirement from the field. UAT-A01-CJM-002	RED indicates that only one of the boxes for this question in the Tinnitus/Hearing loss DBQ needs to be answered. By making this required, the clinician is required to answer an additional question and that does not appear to be intent of RED	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

121	Information transfer to pdf incomplete in Hearing Loss/Tinnitus DBQ (abnormal reflexes)	When reading the pdf after following the script, the pdf did not convey the abnormal reflexes that were selected in question 1f. This was repeated and same issue found. It inaccurately appeared to imply that the abnormal buttons had not been selected	General	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda/Dagen redoes	Low	Defect		A ticket has been opened to resolve the mapping issue. UAT-A01-CJM-001	The abnormal reflectsreflexes, selected in question 1f, did not appear on the pdf form	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
122	Incomplete transfer of information to pdf Tinnitus/Hearing Loss (remarks)	The remarks section on the pdf form for Tinnitus Hearing loss DBQ does not show all of the remarks. They are cut off	General	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request	Out of scope as the PDF forms cannot be modified. This should be a change request for the OMB form itself.	The PDF itself can not be changed and the amount of text that was requested in the Data Validation document does not fit in the PDFs allowable space.	The remarks section on the pdf form for Tinnitus Hearing loss DBQ does not show all of the remarks. They are cut off	While there are no specific requirements stating that text should be fully displayed, this is still a defect. Can the PDF fields expand? 12/6 - this observation was sent to Harris for internal review. 12/19 - Harris responded on 12/17 ("Harris Response to Action"). On 12/19, the UAT team changed this from "Requirement not met (defect)" to a Change Request - Request to the OMB Form. The following is T. Curington's analysis: Discussion- According to the Data Validation Requirements,	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM



123	Initial PTSD - Assessment and Functional Impact, Question 1	Under <i>Assessment and Functional Impact</i> , the drop down menu for “If no diagnosis of PTSD, check all that apply:” would not be necessary if the size of the field box were widened. Drop-down menus are annoying if they are not really necessary. Under the Assessment and Function Impact Question #1, Please expand the check box so that the drop-down list is not so cramped and scrolling is unnecessary.	General	Resolved	Mark Worthen	Low	Other		Harris is reviewing to see if we can make a global change to widen many of the smaller text boxes.	Duplicate - CR for #35 will apply to all DBQs	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
-----	---	--	---------	----------	--------------	-----	-------	--	---	---	------	---	----------------------------------

124	Request to remove "yes/no" option, use text boxes only	Hearing Loss and Tinnitus	Presented to Laura Hodges Contractor	Low	Change Request	The RED Document states: Step 4: Actor records test values. 1. Actor must record at least one measurement or at least one occurrence of "CNT". With the YES/NO and text fields this gives the user the opportunity to document that a specific HZ was tested and record the HZ level that was achieved.	Skennedy wrote: Im not sure if this is a script issues or not. The script instructs the user to choose one threshold, i.e. "F 6000hz" and enter the # decibels. In looking at the form again, the intent may be to enter the # decibels for each threshold. Will need to verify. Either way, having to answer "Yes/No" for each is confusing.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
-----	--	---------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----	----------------	--	---	---	------	--	----------------

125	System errors	I believe I have pinpointed the issue. In the Medical History Module, if you select the <b>“STROKE” checkbox in 3B</b> , OR if you select the <b>“CARDIAC CONDITIONS” checkbox in 3C</b> , a system error occurs upon save. "A problem has occurred while processing your last request. If this problem continues please contact your system administrator"	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	High	Defect		Ticket entered for System Exception Error. Will instruct to test by checking all boxes for questions 3A, 3B, and 3C in Medical History section.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
126	Initial PTSD Typo	Also under <i>Assessment and Functional Impact</i> , there is a typo in Number 4 (Occupational and social impairment): Veteran??s	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Mark Worthern	Low	Other		In section Assessment and Functional Impact, Item 4 I was unable to reproduce the erroneous question marks.	Duplicate of Item #73	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate



129	Initial PTSD Assessment and Functional Impact Systems	Also under Assessment and Functional Impact, Number 2 (Current Diagnoses), the Comments boxes are hard to review if you type in more than a few words. You have to scroll back and forth horizontally within the box. This will drive examiners crazy. This observation applies to many of the boxes in this section, e.g., 2b and 2c, and in other sections.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Mark Worthern	Low	Other	Harris is reviewing to see if we can make a global change to widen many of the smaller text boxes. Duplicate of item 75.	Duplicate - CR for #35 will apply to all DBQs	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
-----	--	---	--	----------	---------------	-----	-------	---	---	------	---	----------------------------------

130 Initial PTSD Assessment and Functional Impact Number 4C	Also under <i>Assessment and Functional Impact</i> , Number 4c, if you select “No diagnosis of TBI,” the program makes the box next to “If no, provide reason that it is not possible to differentiate what portion of the indicated level of occupational and social impairment is attributable to each diagnosis:” a <i>Required</i> field, which does not make sense.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Mark Worthern	Low	Other	The RED Document states: IF "No" or "No diagnosis of TBI" the Actor must enter "provide reason" descriptive text. This is working as designed. Duplicate of Item 76.	Agreed, this is a duplicate of item 76	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
---	--	--	----------	---------------	-----	-------	--	--	------	---	-------------------------------

131	Recommendation of 2,000 characters for fields	When Saving I received this message: If no, provide reason that it is not possible to differentiate what portion of the indicated level of occupational and social impairment is attributable to each diagnosis: cannot be greater than 2000 characters.2000 characters is not enough for that field. I recommend 20,000 to be on the safe side.	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Resolved	Mark Worthen	Low	Other		Comments or documents larger than 2000 characters can be uploaded as a document to the DBQ. Comments can then be entered into the "If no, Provide reason" text box that supporting documents have been attached. Duplicate of item 77.	duplicate of item #77	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
-----	---	---	---	----------	--------------	-----	-------	--	--	-----------------------	------	---	-------------------------------

132 Initial PTSD PDF not accurate	General	Resolved	Mark Worthen	Low	Other	CJM -A ticket UAT-A18-CJM-01 is opened for the unmapped Diagnoses. - The typo of todays on the DBQ/PDF is exactly the same as the original DBQ and can not be changed. -Duplicate of item 78	Duplicate - See #78	Item	sites/OIT Close Observation - _IDIQ/VL Duplicate ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
-----------------------------------	---------	----------	--------------	-----	-------	---	---------------------	------	---



133	Incorrect step in script	Step 25, In item 2A. TREATMENT, select "Prescribed Oral Hypoglycemic Agent", does not belong in the Hearing Loss Tinnitus script. Step 52 - Instructions did not include to say no to provide rationale for reason speculation required (this was a required field). I chose No based on next instruction Step 58, instructions did not include to say no to provide rationale for reason speculation required (this was a required field). I chose No based on next instruction Step 64 - Not specific there are two remarks and only one listed	Hearing Loss and Tinnitus	Resolved	Laura Hodges	Low	Test Script	Update test script	Skennedy wrote: many of these issues are due to the fact that the script writers were not aware of the "yes/no" design decisions	Update script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script
-----	--------------------------	---	---------------------------	----------	--------------	-----	-------------	--------------------	--	---------------	------	---	-----------------------------------

135	Data not displayed on PDF	The following fields do not transfer from the system to the PDF: Section I, Item 1F. AUDIOLOGIC FINDINGS, SUMMARY OF IMMITTANCE (TYMPANOMETRY ) FINDINGS;, Acoustic immittance in Right Ear. ---> missing all data Section I, Item 1F. AUDIOLOGIC FINDINGS, SUMMARY OF IMMITTANCE (TYMPANOMETRY ) FINDINGS;, Ipsilateral Acoustic Reflexes in Right Ear. ---> missing all data Section I, Item 4, "Rationale (Provide rationale for either a yes or no answer:) - ----> missing partial	Hearing Loss and Tinnitus	Pending Resolution	Laura Hodges	Low	Defect	A ticket has been opened to correct all unmapped data for Hearing Loss and Tinnitus UAT-A01-CJM-001 Harris is reviewing to see if we can make a global change to widen many of the smaller text boxes.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
-----	---------------------------	---	---------------------------	--------------------	--------------	-----	--------	--	---------------	------	---

136	System displays "Yes/No"; Form displays "Abnormal/Normal"	Item 1F, instructions should be a yes or no; not abnormal or normal. I chose yes. I made the assumption that the left ear was all normal.	Hearing Loss and Tinnitus	Pending Resolution	Laura Hodges	Low	Defect	The OMB form is a Word document and expands when filling out electronically. The space identified on these forms that was translated into a PDF form allows for 47 characters on the Hearing Loss remarks and allows for 40 characters on the Tinnitus remarks. Since this is not a PDF form, can the DBQ generated PDF be modified to accept more characters? This would be a change request. 12/20 -- Harris inadvertently pasted the wrong comment here regarding the OMB form as the	Unclear where the issue is. Please provide more detail	Skennedy wrote: The form displays "abnormal/normal", the system displays "yes/no". My assumption is that the user assumed that the script was incorrect, but since the form uses "abnormal/normal", the system display of "yes/no" is incorrect.	This is the "Summary of Immittance (Tympanometry) Findings" section of the form. For each ear (right and left), the user is to select whether or not a set of characteristics are Normal or Abnormal. For example, the first characteristic is "Acoustic immittance". The user has to identify whether the acoustic immittance is normal or abnormal in the right and left ear. Instead of displaying "Acoustic immittance - normal or abnormal" (radio buttons in this case), the system	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Defect - Regression test when available
-----	---	---	---------------------------	--------------------	--------------	-----	--------	--	--	--	---	------	--	---

137	Confusing question in Medical History section.	Medical history section: evidence review – the other question does not make sense, nor does the box after the other question that says please describe. I think this is asking about whether other records were reviewed, but am not sure	Initial	Resolved	Stacey Pollack	Low	Training Issue	The wording on the form reflects the same wording as the Word Document(this form is a Word and not a PDF).  The yes/no instead of a checkbox for each is the design decision.	The YES/No boxes were a design decision and adhere to the Requirements and DBQ PDF.	Skennedy wrote: The layout and yes/no is a little wierd. The form displays checkboxes which make it a little more clear. All data is correct here.	Yes/No options were added to the "Other" selection, due to "yes/no" design constraints. The system presents the following: 1. Evidence Review In order to provide an accurate medical opinion, the Veteran's claims folder must be reviewed. a. Records reviewed (check all that apply): Claims folder (C-file): Yes No (buttons) If no, provide reason C-file was not reviewed: Other? Yes No (buttons) Please describe: The forms states the following: 1. Evidence review	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue - Review with Dick
-----	--	---	---------	----------	----------------	-----	----------------	---	---	--	---	------	---	---

138	Request field expansion and modified layout	Medical History Module, Item 3 - Stressors Stressor : it is hard to work with, as you can't see the text. Assessment and Functional Impact Module,4. <b>Occupational and social impairment</b> · Under diagnostic summary – current diagnosis, recommend making the comments boxes easier to work in · Under diagnostic summary 4 –. this is not a user friendly format. The questions should not be so left centered. You can't see the end of the responses	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Pending Resolution	Stacy Pollack	Low	Defect	A global script will be created to widen all text fields and force text to wrap to the next line	Harris is reviewing to see if we can make a global change to widen many of the smaller text boxes.	Previously reported - field does not expand	12/6 - Requires scripting. Harris is working on a level of effort/cost estimate to resolve the expandable text issues. All issues related to expandable text fields will be categorized as defects.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available
-----	---	--	---	-----------------------	---------------	-----	--------	--	--	---	---	------	------	---	---

139	Request to disable unselected text boxes.	Item 4a · For criterion A – consider graying out the third box “no exposure to a traumatic event” if the first box is checked. You should not be able to check box 1 and 3 · For criterion B- consider graying out the last box if something is checked above · For criterion C- consider graying out the last box if something is checked above · For criterion D- consider graying out the last box if something is checked above	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Stacey Pollack	Low	Change Request	Potential future change request.	This is a change request. There are no requirement in RED for this.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
-----	---	---	--	-------------------------	----------------	-----	----------------	----------------------------------	---	------	---	----------------

140	System usability issues	<div><div><div>· Was not sure what to put for physician ID assigning facility or assigning authority</div><div>· Was not sure what to put for physician ID</div><div>· Boxes – save, spell check, submit, schema, delete – not sure what “schema” box is for</div><div>· For all criterion, not sure what the R in a circle next to a certain symptom is referring to. I am assuming that it is meant that you need to complete the entire criterion section, but it is not clear . also, not sure why the same R is not next to E and F</div><div>· Was unclear how to get from</div></div></div>	General	Resolved	Stacey Pollack	Low	Training Issue	Training Issue		Close Observation - Training Issue -- how to navigate the system - what certain buttons mean, etc.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue
141	Request to change “assessment and functional impact” to "diagnostic summary"	Would reword “assessment and functional impact” as this should be diagnostic summary	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Stacey Pollack	Low	Change Request	The names are consistent with the DBQ Requirements.	Skennedy wrote: the module names are consistent with the DBQ RED	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

142	Request to hide fields in the diagnostic summary section	<b>4. Occupational and social impairment, 4b and 4c</b> · Under diagnostic summary 4 – recommend that if you say no for b, the box for no opens up (and not the box for yes) and if you say yes, that the box for yes opens up (and not the box for no) · Under diagnostic summary 4 – recommend that if you say no for c, the box for no opens up (and not the box for yes) and if you say yes, that the box for yes opens up (and not the box for no)	Initial Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	Presented to Contractor	Low	Change Request	Assessment and Functional Impact section:  This meets requirements because it is not a yes/no but is a multi-select where at least one choice must be selected, i.e. select one of 3 choices.  This would be a change request to hide the Yes or No text boxes based on which item is selected.	For each 4b and 4C there are 3 points of data entry. One for the selection of: YES/NO/ NO OTHER MENTAL DISORDER HAS BEEN DIAGNOSED and 2 others for comments. If you select YES then the comment box for YES is required. If you select either of the NO selections the No Text box is required.	Tester reports that the same applies for the Review Initial PTSD DBQ Skennedy wrote: the system currently displays both fields, and identifies the appropriate Yes/No description text box as required (red circled r). DBQ RED is unclear. It appears that the user enters text regardless of whether Yes or No is selected.	Currently, both the "if Yes" and "if No" text boxes are displayed. If the user selects Yes, then the "required" symbol is displayed next to the If Yes text box. Requirement 2.2. Visible Text Entry Global Rule, in RED states: "All questions that take the form of a “Yes” or “No” selection followed only by a text field that is associated with answering “Yes” the text field shall not be visible until the Actor selects the “Yes” choice". Therefore is is a defect. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - this requirement	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
143	Request to remove ability to upload documentation for Mental Health DBQs	For mental health – don’t know why you would add an attachment. I think this is going to confuse people.	General	Presented to Stacey Pollack Contractor	Low	Change Request		Potential future change request.	Skennedy wrote: I believe that this is related to the "Manage Claim Document" link in each module.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request



144	Request field expansion so that all data entered is displayed	Evidence Review · Evidence review – recommend the box be larger	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Pending Resolution	Stacey Pollack	Low	Defect	A global script will be created to widen all text fields and force text to wrap to the next line	Harris is reviewing to see if we can make A global change to widen many of The smaller text boxes.	12/6 - Requires scripting. Harris is working on a level of effort/cost estimate to resolve the expandable text issues. All issues related to expandable text fields will be categorized as defects.	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available
145	System displays the same question twice	Item 6. Competency · Not sure why competency is a separate category on the initial PTSD DBQ and not here. but for the question itself, if you click yes, the box opens up and it should say comments, not repeat “is the Veteran capable of managing his/her financial affairs”  6. COMPETENCYIS THE VETERAN CAPABLE OF MANAGING HIS OR HER FINANCIAL AFFAIRS?Yes NoIS THE VETERAN CAPABLE OF MANAGING HIS OR HER FINANCIAL AFFAIRS?	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Pending Resolution	Stacey Pollack	Low	Defect		A ticket has been opened to remove the duplicate question. UAT-A19-CJM-001	ticket opened		Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

146	Request to change format/display for "Symptoms"	Item 4. Symptoms · For symptoms –I am afraid someone might not scroll down and see all of the symptoms that are listed	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Stacey Pollack	Low	Change Request	This was a design decision and would require a change to the Requirements as the system does display all choices.	Skennedy wrote: system displays scrolling list of checkboxes.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
147	Request change to the 8. PSYCHIATRIST/PSYCHOLOGIST CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE section	· Not sure what would go in the box that says psychiatrist/psychologist send the completed form to · These forms can be completed by other classes of practitioners (swer etc.) under supervision. While I understand the form should be signed by the psychologist/psychiatrist, there is not a place to document if someone else completed the DBQ	Review Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) - VA Form 21-0960P-3	Presented to Contractor	Stacey Pollack	Low	Change Request	The DBQ Correctly display the same as the original PDF that can not be changed.	Skennedy wrote: The system correctly displays the fields in section 8. PSYCHIATRIST/PSYCHOLOGIST CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE on the form.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

148	Request to hide the description box if "not applicable" is selected.	Item <b>2B. IS IT POSSIBLE TO DIFFERENTIATE WHAT SYMPTOM(S) IS/ARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO EACH DIAGNOSIS?</b>  · 2b. if you select “not applicable” no box should come up	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Presented to Contractor	Stacey Pollack	Low	Change Request	Requirement change would be needed as the systems is matching the requirements	Skennedy wrote: the system is behaving per the requirements  <b>2B. IS IT POSSIBLE TO DIFFERENTIATE WHAT SYMPTOM(S) IS/ARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO EACH DIAGNOSIS?</b> Step 16:  1. Actor selects YES, NO or NOT APPLICABLE 2. If YES, Actor enters respective description (required) 3. If NO, Actor enters respective description. (required) 4. IF NOT APPLICABLE, Actor enters description respective to the NO selection. (required).	Mismatch between RED and the form. RED states: 2. If YES, Actor enters respective description (required) 3. If NO, Actor enters respective description. (required) 4. IF NOT APPLICABLE, Actor enters description respective to the NO selection. (required). The form does not identify an action to take for "Not applicable". It states: (If "No," provide reason that it is not possible to differentiate what portion of each symptom is attributable to each diagnosis), and (If "Yes," list	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
-----	--	--	--	-------------------------	----------------	-----	----------------	--	--	--	------	--	----------------

149	Request field expansion so that all data entered is displayed	2C. DOES THE VETERAN HAVE A DIAGNOSED TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY (TBI)? comments box is not user friendly 2D. IS IT POSSIBLE TO DIFFERENTIATE WHAT SYMPTOM(S) IS/ARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO EACH DIAGNOSIS? if yes, comments box is not user friendly (but it is if you check no...)	Mental Disorders (other than PTSD & Eating Disorders) - VA Form 21-0960P-2	Pending Resolution	Stacey Pollack	Low	Defect	Assessment and Functional Impact: Ticket submitted to increase size of text boxes for Q2C and Q2D when Yes is answered. Data validation requirement is for 255 characters for Yes description in 2C and for 100 characters for Yes description in 2D. Current text boxes for Yes accepts 100 characters but only 29 are visible at a time.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
150	Request to display field only if "yes" is selected	Item -- would only have the "select where obj evidence of painful motion" open if answer to "No objective evidence of painful motions" was yes.	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Presented to Contractor	Brenda Howard	Low	Change Request	Requirements only specify whether question is required or not, not whether question is visible or hidden. System behaving correctly per the DBQ RED	This request is for items 4A - 4D. Harris response is correct in that the requirements specify whether the question is required or not, not whether the question is visible or hidden.	Item	sites/OIT Change Request _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List

151	Test script issues in Knee and Lower Leg script	Test Script Step 38. This is not worded correctly- you have to say yes or no. if yes then the next line should need answered Step 39. choice is yes or no; again, worded very strange-"no" objective evidence..sounds like an answer, not a question Step 40. the response is referring to 4a, but we are on 4c Step 41. choice is yes or no; again, worded very strange-"no" objective evidence..sounds like an answer, not a question  Step 43. choice is yes or no; again, worded very " "	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Brenda Howard	Low	Test Script	Test script issue.	Script unclear due to unknown design decisions (i.e. Yes/No versus checkboxes)	discuss the need for design documentation when developing scripts	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - Test Script Issue
-----	---	---	--	----------	---------------	-----	-------------	--------------------	--	---	------	--	---------------------------------------

152	Recieved erros upon saving Physical Exam module	received RED messages-initial ROM-all normal? Is business required, is there any limitation to extension? (0 or any degree of hyperextension) is business required-written twice; message at the bottom of screen, "done but with errors on the page; got a pop up box when i went to knee and lower leg, that i had to save again	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Brenda Howard	Low	Training Issue	Training issue on how all required fields must be populated at save and that warning messages are there to indicate such.	Close Observation - Training Issue - user friendly error messages, and saving when errors are present	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue	
153	Module name is incorrect	The title is assessment and functional impact-not Diagnosis and Functional Impact".	Knee and Lower Leg - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Brenda Howard	Low	Test Script	Design decision was made to go with standard names for the 6 sections for all forms because of differences in the Workflow diagrams and the RED requirements. Medical History Physical Exam Diagnostic Testing Assessment & Functional Impact Remarks Certification and Signature	Module names in DBQ RED and Workflow Visio diagrams do not match	Update script	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script

154	Data not transferred to PDF	only section XVI, XVII, XVIII, XIX kept information	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Resolved	Brenda Howard	Low	Other	Harris tester was able to enter data in all questions in all sections of the form and verify that all data was correctly transferred to PDF.	Skennedy wrote: the tester indicated errors recieved during script execution. This MAY be due to these errors not being resolved	Retested and could not reproduce the problem. The tester may have had unresolved errors, causing the data not to be saved.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
155	Script issues for Diabetes Mellitus DBQ	Step 3, SSN - should only be 9 digits but correction did show up which was nice Step 17, Facility Name - Bath VA MC didn't show up Step 24. This is nothing here to put a medical history in i.e. date of diagnosis etc.  Step 37. CAN we automatically get a DBQ if we check "yes" I do not think you need to put if checked complete the VA form. I would just say must include DBQ  Step 1: The system allows the user to login, but it does not displays the user's "Tracking	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Beverly Gilraine and Dr. Romero	Low	Test Script	Test script issues.		The script needs to be updated	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - update script

156	Item 2B - Text box for "If Yes" should not be displayed when "no" is selected	In 2B- "Does the Veteran Require Regulation of Activities as Part of Medical Management of Diabetes Mellitus" - -- Text box is there even if you say "no"	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Beverly Gilraine and Dr. Romero	Low	Defect	Medical History Section: Ticket entered to hide text box until Q2B is answered "Yes".	DBQ RED global requirement 2.2 not met	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression
157	Typo in item 3a -- Do you want to load the Eye DBQ	In item 3A, screen display "Do want to load the Eye Disability Benefits Questionnaire?" --- --missing "you"	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Defect	Submitted a ticket to fix wording. As the wording was copied directly from the requirement which was missing the word "you". Medical History section, Q3A.		This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression



158	Text box does not appear as it should	In item 3B. DOES THE VETERAN HAVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS THAT ARE AT LEAST AS LIKELY AS NOT ( <i>at least a 50% probability</i> ) DUE TO DIABETES MELLITUS?, when "Other Complications" is checked, the "If Other Complications" checkbox is not displayed	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	The text box appeared correctly for Harris tester also as well as verifying the information got onto the PDF.	Skennedy wrote: I could not duplicate this error. The text box appears when I execute the script.	Retested and could not reproduce the problem. The "If Other Complications" textbox is displayed when the user selects "Other Commplications" checkbox.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced	
159	Supplemental DBQs not loaded	When you select the box from the choices of conditions, system does not load XXXXX Disability DBQ. What is on the form next to the XXX Condition is (If checked also complete the VA Form xxxxxx, XXXX DBQ)	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Pending Resolution	Dr. Romero	Low	Defect	Entered a ticket to add questions "Do you want to load form ....." for each box checked.  UAT-A06-CLM-004	Currently only the forms which are available will get added to the claim.	Skennedy wrote: The user has generalized this issue to ALL "supplemental" DBQs. However, in the Diabetes Mellitus DBQ, item 3A, the user is prompted to load the Eye DBQ, which is not part of this release.	In time 3A the user should be prompted to load the Eye DBQ, and in item 3B, the user should be prompted to load several different DBQs, based on the response: Male Reproductive Organs DBQ, "appropriate cardiac" DBQs, Hypertension DBQ, Arteries and Veins DBQ, Cranial Nerve Conditions DBQ, Central Nervous System DBQ, etc. From this list, there are two DBQs available in this release - the Hypertension DBQ, and the Heart Conditions DBQ (for "appropriate cardiac" DBQs). Currently, the	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

160	Esophageal Conditions script issues	Step 22: The system only displays the Tracking Inbox >> Claim . The >> DBQ Listing page does not appear. In the column on the left of the the field, under claim I clicked DBQ and then select Esophagus.	Esophageal Conditions - VA Form 21-0960G-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Test Script		Script issue.		The script needs to be updated		Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script
161	The use of Yes/No versus checkboxes	In item 3. DOES THE VETERAN HAVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING SIGNS OR SYMPTOMS DUE TO ANY ESOPHAGEAL CONDITIONS (including GERD)? Select "Yes". If "Yes", check all that apply) all the subordinate options appear as required field. It does not allow you to check only one. Also, you can not save unless you answer the required field. In item 6A. HAVE DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING STUDIES OR OTHER DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURES BEEN PERFORMED? Select "Yes". If "Yes", check all that apply) all the	Esophageal Conditions - VA Form 21-0960G-1	Pending Resolution	Dr. Romero	Low	Defect	We can create a dummy multi checkbox to demonstrate the reason we did not go with creating multi-checkboxes for such questions. Two examples will be provided.	Design decision to use Yes/No questions (with restraints of COTS product) for multi-checkbox questions that contain multiple subordinate questions for the selections. Since requirement is to make the question required, each Yes/No must then be set to required to satify the requirements. These are for questions that require the user to select "at least" one.	For each of these items, the requirements state that the user has to select at least one. Since the user has to answer "No" for each item that does not apply, the user does not see this as having the ability to select only one. he form states "check all that apply", the OTS software constrains the user to yes/no radio buttons forcing them to respond to each one, not only the ones that apply.	For discussion - Yes/No radio buttons versus, check all that apply -11/30 - Per Tony, the only non scripting solution would be a drop-down list of checkboxes, but this design does not allow for the system to take certain actions based on boxes that are checked (which is required in this case). 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - Various requirments to "check all that apply", none are marked "out of scope". 12/19 - Defect. Harris has presented a new design option to meet the requirement -- Option 2 is the	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Defect - Regression test when available

162	PDF data issues for Esophageal Condition	Step 73: Verify that all data entered in this script, appears on the DBQ form. Comment: Missing data -Section VI- Diagnostic testing(all); Section IX- Physician's Certification and signature. 9a Physician's signature	Esophageal Conditions - VA Form 21-0960G-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	Section VI: Harris tester was able to enter data in all fields for all questions and verified that all data was on the PDF.  Section IX Physician Signature: No current requirements for electronic signature specifics on the PDF.	Retested and could not replicate either for Section VI. The physician signature is a know issue -- See Item 109 - (recommendatio n for a change request)	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
163	Remarks should not be required	Step 58: Do not enter any remarks in item 8. Remarks. Remarks has a required symbol, you can not save unless you answer the required field.	Esophageal Conditions - VA Form 21-0960G-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	Design Decision; all remarks sections will be required to prevent empty records in the database for sections that contain only 1 question.	Duplicate	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
164	Typo on screen - Diabetes Mellitus item 4a	ection 4, Question 4a. Subordinate question: Do <b>("You"-Missing)</b> want to load the Scars/Disfigureme nt Questionnaire: Yes No	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Defect	Submitted a ticket to fix wording. As the wording was copied directly from the requirement which was missing the word "you". Physical Exam section, Q4A.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression

165	Date field not displayed	Section 5B: Current Test Results: Comment: after entering the lab value, the date field did not appear. The Date field only appeared after I clicked save.	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Romero	Low	Change Request	Designed to work similar to yes/no question where date fields do not appear unless data is entered for test result boxes. User must click outside the box or on the next question for the date fields to appear. There were no requirements given for section Diagnostic Testing and questions 5A and 5B for this section so design assumptions were made. Missing requirement was reported by Harris.	SKennedy wrote: I could not duplicate this error. I'm wondering if the user did not click out of the field or hit <enter> on the keyboard after entering the lab values.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
166	Remarks should not be required - Diabetes Mellitus	Step 60: Remark text box show the required field symbol and does not allow you to save unless a remark is entered.	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	Design Decision; all remarks sections will be required to prevent empty records in the database for sections that contain only 1 question.		duplicate	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - Duplicate
167	Physician signature does not display on PDF	Step 74:(Verify data on PDF) The only field missing is 8A Physician's signature.	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	No current requirements for electronic signature specifics on the PDF.		duplicate	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - Duplicate

168	Request ability to edit DBQ	Suggestions: On the left column, there should be an edit option for each link on the module for us to add more information.	General	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Romero	Low	Change Request	Potential future change request.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
169	Suggestion for "Save" notification	After saving the document, there should be a symbol on the module listing on the left column to identify that the document was successfully saved.	General	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	Potential future change request.	duplicate	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Duplicate
170	Request for system navigation changes - breadcrumbs	I am very concerned over the length of time it takes to complete this form. Why must the examiner constantly have to follow the breadcrumbs and select various modules especially since it takes 20-30 seconds for each screen to appear. This is a huge time waster.	General	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request	Possible requirement change to include more elements on each form.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

171	Request for "yes/no" design changes		General	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request		Potential future change request.		Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
172	Redundanct subordinate fields	In item 3., SUBORDINATE FIELD "SURGERY", Subordiante field, "Prostatectomy". The "other" field for Prostatectomy" is redundant since the next selection is "other surgical procedures"	Prostate Cancer - VA Form 21-0960J-3	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Defect		Medical History Section: Q3 needs some indentations similar to the PDF form to allow the user to follow the "Other" fields under the "Surgery". Ticket entered.	SKennedy wrote: This looks like a design/format change is needed. There are two very similiar "Other " fields, but one of them is a subordinate to "Surgery" and one is a subordinate to "Prostatectomy". The user is confused due to the fact they these items are all left-aligned.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression

173	The required logo for 4B is not present.	The required logo for 4B is not present.  Item 4. DOES THE VETERAN HAVE A VOIDING DYSFUNCTION? Item 4B. DOES THE VOIDING DYSFUNCTION REQUIRE THE USE OF AN APPLIANCE?	Prostate Cancer - VA Form 21-0960J-3	Pending Resolution	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Defect	Entered ticket. Medical History Q4B: Descriptive text field needs to be marked required when answered "Yes".	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	
174	Nighttime voiding and daytime voiding are required answers.	there should also be a requirement to document nighttime voiding since both daytime and nighttime are required answers.	Prostate Cancer - VA Form 21-0960J-3	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request	Appears to be requirement issue as user seems to be making a suggestion. Change request.  Medical History Q4C. Requirement change needs clarification. Current functionality meets requirements.	Skennedy wrote: Need clarification, not sure if this is a new business requirement --The form states "check all that apply". Thy system requires at least one checkbox to be answered... it does not require a daytime and nighttime answer.	There are no requirements in RED or on the form that state that both daytime and nighttime voiding are required answers. The system correctly requires at least one checkbox to be checked if "yes" is selected. It does not require a daytime and nighttime answer.	Item	sites/OIT Change Request _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
175	Can the user deselect a radio button?	Note: how does the examiner "deselect" a choice if they accidentally click on the wrong one?	General	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Training Issue	Training issue.	Close Observation - Training Issue	Item	sites/OIT Close Observation - _IDIQ/VL Training Issue ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	

176	The subordinate question is displayed for the wrong answer	If the user answers "No" to the following question: 6C. IF THE VETERAN HAS ERECTILE DYSFUNCTION, IS HE ABLE TO ACHIEVE AN ERECTION SUFFICIENT FOR PENETRATION AND EJACULATION (WITHOUT MEDICATION)? then, the following question should appear": (If "No," is the veteran able to achieve an erection sufficient for penetration and ejaculation (with medication)?  This question incorrectly appears if 6C is "Yes", not "No"	Prostate Cancer - VA Form 21-0960J-3	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Defect	Hide/show rules are determined by the RED document.  Medical History Q6C. Requirement in the RED requirements is backwards from the Yes/No on the PDF form. Entered Ticket to correct to the PDF form logic. If user enters "No" then description text is visible and required.	SKennedy wrote: confirmed defect (discrepancy between PDF form and DBQ RED. according to the PDF form, this is a defect)	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close - Resolved via Regression
177	ICD Code should not be required	Item 1B.Diagnosis #1, ICD CODE ICD code should not be a required field.	Prostate Cancer - VA Form 21-0960J-3	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request	System is behaving per DBQ RED	System is behaving per DBQ RED	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request



178	Request to populate Physician data from claim form to the Physician Certification module	why doesn't the name I entered at the beginning or my electronic signature populate this field? why do I have to enter an address when I gave you the facility name and location at the first screen?	General	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request	Potential future change request.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
179	PDF data issues for Prostate Cancer DBQ	Did not show our answer of "does not require appliace". Did not display answer to 4B which should be mandatory. Full remarks under section 11 not displayed. Should the examiners electronic signature display?	Prostate Cancer - VA Form 21-0960J-3	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request	Harris tester was able to enter data for Q4B as No and as Yes and verify that all data was correctly transferred to PDF in both cases. Harris tester entered 4000 characters for Q11 description and successfully saved. The PDF only has room for 1 line of text and 110 characters. The PDF form can not be changed by Harris. An alternative is to attach a file to the DBQ and state so in the descriptive text for Q11. No current requirements for electronic signature specifics on the PDF.	Retested and could not replicate the issue with item 4B, but was able to duplicate the issue with item 11 and the information being cutoff on the PDF. Are we going to allow scripting for this? If so, do we need to identify all fields in each DBQ that have this issue? 11/29-- Per Dick's request, Amy is going to determine cost and ROI for minimal scripting. See item 13. 12/6 - This is not a scripting issue. it will require a change to the OMB form to allow the PDF to display more characters.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM

180	PDF issues - data not displayed - Scars and Disfigurements DBQ	At the very end upon "Generate PDF Report" The DBQ did not retain all entries. Data was lost - Medical History module.	Scars/Disfigurement - VA Form 21-0960F-1	Resolved	Nan Pellone	Low	Training Issue	When the Medical History section has all required data entered saving was successful. This was not reproducible.	Close Observation - Training Issue - saving data when erros are present	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - Training Issue
181	Request for improved system performance	<p>I timed stamped my pages to see how long it took. For the first page I started at 9:35 am and didn't get it "saved" correctly to advance until 10:50.</p> <p>2. Saving each XML page with accurate "yes" or "no" required entries was tedious in order to advance to the next module.</p> <p>3. Mentioned why can't information entered once auto-populate later.</p>	Scars/Disfigurement - VA Form 21-0960F-1	Presented to Contractor	Nan Pellone	Low	Change Request	This would require a change to the Requirements.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request

182	Request system usability changes	<p>DBQ and displays are not intuitive, such as, how to navigate and use the "blue bar" (breadcrumb). Numbering sequence and letters a, b, c...is not clear. No roman numerals used but on the DBQ form they are clearly indicated.</p> <p>Requires a user to do additional clicks, rather than filter out and displaying only questions or text boxes, needed.</p> <p>Very frustrating....to use. These are not user friendly <u>nor do they deliver a 'high' value added for usability.</u></p>	Scars/Disfigurement - VA Form 21-0960F-1	Presented to Contractor	Nan Pellone	Low	Change Request	This would require a change to the Requirements	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request
183	Request to modify field length	<p>Item 2C Character limit of '30' is too narrow for 2, C. "Specify location of scars on right lower extremity and number them:" Expand to '55' would be more reasonable.</p>	Scars/Disfigurement - VA Form 21-0960F-1	Presented to Contractor	Nan Pellone	Low	Change Request	This would require a change to the Requirement.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VLER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Change Request

184	Request ability to auto-save and save partial data	We require the need for the program to auto save, and to be able to pause / save input reliably, to allow for accurate completion of the report at a later time prior to submitting	General	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Ljaamo	Low	Change Request	Potential future change request.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
185	Concerns about formatted date fields and pre-populated fields	The user raises the following concerns: the necessity to remember that the program will be of zero value if it forces examiners to enter fictitious dates / numbers / data / assumptions about diagnoses (i.e., indicating no diagnosis of “x”, when in reality, the diagnosis was not considered).....Th ese are forensic medical exams which have to be able to stand on their own in court, period....., and user friendliness.....if it isn’t user friendly, NO ONE will use it.	General	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Ljaamo	Low	Change Request	Potential future change request.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

186	The ROM should automatically populate from the original one	I thought that you were going to put 6 A and if we said “NO” (for change with repetition) then the ROM that would automatically populate from the original one.	Knee and Lower Leg Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-9	Presented to Contractor	Beverly Gilraine	Low	Change Request		Need more specific detailed requirements for the change that is being requested. Current requirements only say that it is a requirement to answer 6A.		Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
187	Question regarding population of physician of fields	Can the physicians name and address be automatically filled in when the provider logs in? Why must the provider have to enter their name and address on two different screens.	General	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request		See Tony's comments for this item, as well as Harris comments to item #1.	Tony Curington wrote: With regard to the <b>Physician Name and Address</b> information:  Currently we do not collect the Physician Address when a user registers with us. Therefore we would not have the Physician’s address prior to them moving filling out an DBQ.  Additionally, automatically filling in the Physician’s name when they login was originally a requested requirement. I believe there are scenarios where a DBQ is filled out by a clinician. This first DBQ may spawn off the need for a second DBQ to be	this question was answered via email, during the UAT.-----Tony Curington wrote: With regard to the Physician Name and Address information:  Currently we do not collect the Physician Address when a user registers with us. Therefore we would not have the Physician’s address prior to them moving filling out an DBQ.  Additionally, automatically filling in the Physician’s name when they login was originally a requested requirement. I believe there are	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request

188	How do we test accessing, completing and submitting multiple exam worksheets on the same veteran?	How do we test accessing, completing and submitting multiple exam worksheets on the same veteran? How will exam worksheets to be completed by other providers be added? For instance, the diabetes examiner wants to add an eye exam which must be completed by an Eye specialist.	General	Resolved	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Training Issue	See Tony's comments for this item.	Tony Curington wrote: Regarding the Submission of <b>Multiple Exam Worksheets</b> on the same veteran:  Each DBQ will have to be submitted individually. It is our understanding that you can have multiple DBQ's for the same Veteran being filled out at the same time by multiple physicians. For instance, the Diabetes Mellitus could require the Eye Exam to be filled out by different physician. Each physician has to fill out and submit the DBQ on their own. But both physicians can see each other's DBQ as it is being filled out simply by searching the	this question was answered via email, during the UAT -----Tony Curington wrote: Regarding the Submission of Multiple Exam Worksheets on the same veteran:  Each DBQ will have to be submitted individually. It is our understanding that you can have multiple DBQ's for the same Veteran being filled out at the same time by multiple physicians. For instance, the Diabetes Mellitus could require the Eye Exam to be filled out by different physician. Each	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - Training Issue
189	Remarks Section not located where Test Script specifies	Not clear where to locate "Remarks" not on the left side. Needed to select the "Scar/Difigurement Listing" in the top blue bar to continue. Now see the "Remarks" on the left. Modify the instructions here. Verify the requirements how is reads here.	Scars/Disfigurement - VA Form 21-0960F-1	Resolved	Nancy Pellone	Low	Test Script	Test Script Error		script needs to be updated	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - update script

190 Steps 68 & 69 of Scars and Disfigurement Test Script	<p>Step 68 - Note: Again the numbering in column B is not accurate and can be confusing to continue along to be sure user is in the right field for the right action.</p> <p>Step 69 - Remainder of the options and questions had to indicate "no" - no instructions to do this but needed to as they are "R" = required.</p>	Scars/Disfigurement - VA Form 21-0960F-1	Resolved	Low	Test Script	Test Script Error	The script needs to be updated	Item	sites/OIT_IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - update script
--	---	--	----------	-----	-------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	------	--	-----------------------------------

191	System Slow in Scars and Disfigurement DBQ	Wrong information is appearing for diagnostic testing as this is not a test but questions appear asking if photos of the scars are provided or available. Selected "yes" for "photographs not indicated" - the first radio button option and "no" for remaining in order to be able to continue and save. Long duration on "saving" before it completes - 4 minutes approx. Not clear if it saved properly after a long delay but finally the spinning circle indicated and screen refreshed to seem it saved.	Scars/Disfigurement - VA Form 21-0960F-1	Resolved	Nancy Pellone	Low	Other	This delay was not able to be reproduced. Same selections were made and saved with little delay.	No action taken on the comment regard the questions. The issue is with the name of the "module" - Diagnostic Testing. All DBQs will have the same module names. Retested and could not replicate this issue. This performance issue doesn't appear to be consistant -- it has been replicated, but not during this last test. Recommend following up with Harris, to check system performance. 12/6 - Harris is aware of these issues	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
192	Hypertension DBQ Submission without required fields	Can submit even if requiried fields /sections are not completed.	General	Resolved	Laura Hodges	Medium	Other	Tried to reproduce but need more information on the specific section and required fields not completed in order to test correctly.	Retested and could not reproduce this error	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced



193	Requests for system navigational changes	When the DBQ first pulls up, why can't we go straight to the medical history instead of having to select this from the modules? Why can't modules be displayed on the left side to avoid having to go thru the bread crumbs?	General	Presented to Contractor	Tamara Almeyda	Low	Change Request	Potential future change request.	Change Request - no evidence of any requirements not met.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
194	Request to modify Shoulder DBQ template	<p>On the shoulder DBQ, the Regional Office is requiring external and internal rotation as well for ROM. There is an error in the template and we have to "hand put" that information in for each shoulder exam. It is really needed if this is being done by private physicians.</p> <p>Again: 6A should really follow 5A so that we don't have to keep putting in the range of motion. It should automatically population if we say (6a) there was no change. (see attachment)</p>	Shoulder and Arm Condition - VA Form 21-0960M-12	Presented to Contractor	Beverly Gilraine	Low	Change Request	Harris must follow the PDF forms and can not make changes to what currently exists, even if it is incorrect.	form change - out of scope	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request - REQUEST TO CHANGE OMB FORM

195	Request change to Headache DBQ - Duplicate questions	In 4C,NON-MIGRAINE - DOES THE VETERAN HAVE PROSTRATING ATTACKS OF NON-MIGRAINE HEADACHE PAIN? if you answer yes you are putting in the number of prostrating attacks.	Headaches - VA Form 21-0960C-8	Presented to Contractor	Beverly Gilraine	Low	Change Request	The PDF form can not be changed. These question are from the PDF	Change to form -- out of scope	Item	sites/OIT Change Request - REQUEST_IDIQ/VL TO CHANGE OMB FORM ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
-----	--	---	--------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------	-----	----------------	--	--------------------------------	------	---

196	"System Exception" error occurs upon saving the Assessment and Functional Impact Module	When attempting to save the Assessment and Functional Impact Module, the following error occurs: "System exception A problem has occurred while processing your last request. If this problem continutes please contact your system administrator. Contact administrator or view error report."	Shoulder and Arm Condition - VA Form 21-0960M-12	Resolved	Laura Hodges	Low	Other		Could not reproduce the defect. Form was successfully saved several times with different configurations without error.		Retested - could not reproduce this error.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
197	Item 7B, 7C, and 7D should NOT be displayed	Function logic problem:In item 7A. DOES THE VETERAN HAVE A BENIGN OR MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OR METASTASES RELATED TO ANY OF THE DIAGNOSES IN SECTION I, DIAGNOSIS, select "No". The system allows the user to select "No", and <b>does</b> display 7B, 7C (subordinate questions), 7D. Questions 7B& 7Csubordinate questions), and 7C should have been grayed out.	Kidney Conditions - VA Form 21-0960J-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Defect		Medical History: Submitted ticket to hide Questions 7B, 7D, 7E when 7A is answered No.	Per the DBQ RED comments for this requirement, this is a defect.	This ticket was regression tested and can be closed.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close - Resolved via Regression

198	Item 9B should not be required field, based on the answer to 9A	In item 9A. HAS THE VETERAN HAD LABORATORY OR OTHER DIAGNOSTIC STUDIES PERFORMED, select "Yes". The system allows the user to select "yes", and displays the (If yes,provide most recent results, (if available), text box; <b><u>and items 9B, 9C, 9D, and 9E. Should be check all that apply. Only questions selected are required field.</u></b>  <b>Currently when Item 9A is answered yes, 9B becomes a required field. This should not be a required field unless 9B is</b>	Kidney Conditions - VA Form 21-0960J-1	Presented to Contractor	Dr. Romero	Low	Change Request	Diagnostic Testing: Q9A and Q9B follow the requirements. Q9C, Q9D, and Q9E requirements were missing from the RED and thus were included per the PDF but none marked required.	According to the DBQ RED comments for this requirement, the system is behaving appropriately. However, not sure if this issues should be discussed with the Business for possible change request	According to the DBQ RED comments for this requirement, the system is behaving appropriately. This issues should be discussed with the Business for possible change request.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Change Request
199	Missing data in PDF	The PDF for the Kidney DBQ is missing the following data Section II Medical history-all missing; Section III -3d; Section IX - 9a,9d; Section xIII-12a.signature.	Kidney Conditions - VA Form 21-0960J-1	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	Harris tester entered data in all fields for all questions and verified that all data mapped correctly to the PDF. No current requirements for electronic signature specifics on the PDF.		Retested - could not reproduce this error. Change request suggested for item 109 that covers the Physician signature issue.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced

200 If "No" is selected for item 3, the "If yes..." text box should not be displayed	In item 3. DOES THE VETERAN REPORT THAT FLARE-UPS IMPACT THE FUNCTION OF THE ANKLE, the user selected "No".  The system allows the user to select "NO", and should NOT display the text box for IF YES, DOCUMENT THE VETERAN'S DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPACT OF FLARE-UPS IN HIS OR HER OWN WORDS. Comment: if you select 'no' the text box is present. This should be grayed out for better function logic.	Ankle Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-2	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	Medical History: This is functioning properly per the requirements. Text box is hidden until Yes is answered.	This is a defect, per DBQ RED -- the subordinates should not be displayed	Retested and could not duplicate the error. The text box is NOT displayed when No is selected, which is correct.	Item	sites/OIT _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List	Close Observation - cannot be reproduced
--	---	---------------------------------------	----------	------------	-----	-------	---	---	--	------	---	--

201	System should not display "if yes" options when "No" is selected	In item 11. DOES THE VETERAN NOW HAVE OR HAS HE OR SHE EVER HAD "SHIN SPLINTS", STRESS FRACTURES, ACHILLES TENDONITIS, ACHILLES TENDON RUPTURE, MALUNION OF CALCANEUS (oscalcis) OR TALUS (astragalus), OR HAS THE VETERAN HAD A TALECTOMY (astragalectomy), The user selected "No." Comment: if 'no' is selected, the 'IF YES, INDICATE CONDITION AND COMPLETE THE APPROPRIATE SECTIONS BELOW.' is not grayed out. This should be grayed	Ankle Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-2	Pending Resolution	Dr. Romero	Low	Defect	Physical Exam: Ticket entered. Q11 condition text box should be hidden until and only if answered "Yes" per global Yes/No rule. The remainder of the subordinate questions are being appropriately hidden. Note that grayed out requires scripting, thus visible and hide are used instead.	This is a defect, per DBQ RED -- the subordinates should not be displayed	Ticket opened. (Per Harris's plan for resolution, graying out fields requires scripting)	TRUE	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
202	"AC 18_OB" is displayed as a required field in the Remarks section	In the Remarks section, "AC 18_OB" is displayed as a required field Comment: This field is Not on the DBQ-not required.	Ankle Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-2	Pending Resolution		Low	Defect	Ticket was entered by Harris tester prior to receiving this UAT item to remove erroneous extra fields. See ticket HT-A14-CLM-004	I am not sure if "AC 18_OB " is displayed as a field in the remarks section, or if it is listed as a required field when attempting to save the Remarks module.	ticket opened		Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List
203	Missing "Physician Signature" field on Ankle PDF	After completing the DBQ and printing the PDF, noticed that the Physician Signature field is missing on the PDF	Ankle Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-2	Resolved	Dr. Romero	Low	Other	No current requirements for electronic signature specifics on the PDF.		Duplicate - CR physician signature will apply to all DBQs		Item	sites/OIT Close Observation - _IDIQ/VL Duplicate ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observat ion List

204	Items displayed on screen but not on PDF	In item 4, the "All Normal" checkbox was selected, and the system correctly selected and displayed the "[#] or greater" checkbox for sections 4A-4f, as well as the "No Objective Evidence of Painful Motion" selections for 4A-4f. However, for items 4A - 4F, the "No Objective Evidence of Painful Motion" checkboxes are NOT checked on the PDF.	Back (Thoracolumbar Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-14	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect		Ticket submitted 11/27/2012 for PDF mapping issue to go along with previous fix to form.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
-----	--	--	---	--------------------	----------------	-----	--------	--	--	---------------	------	---

205	Erroneous information in PDF	In item 6C, when the user performs the following steps: 1. Select "YES" for 6C 2. Select the "Other" checkbox in the subordinate section 3. Enter text in the "Describe" field for "Other", 4. Change the answer in 6C from "YES" to "NO", the system correctly hides the subordinate "describe" text box for "6C, Other, describe", but does not remove the information upon save, and the information in "6C, Other, describe", erroneously appears on the PDF. See attached.Back Thoracolumbar	Back (Thoracolumbar Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-14	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Critical	Defect	Could not reproduce this in the Harris testing environment or in the UAT test environment on 12/7.  Selected yes for 6B, then selected yes for 6C, then selected other and filled out text in 6C. Saved, then changed 6B to no which hid 6C and saved again. Created PDF and the text in other in 6C does not show up on PDF. This test performed twice in 2 environments and could not reproduce.	Harris tester unable to reproduce. When 6B (not 6C) is changed to no, the Other box is unchecked in 6C and the text did not appear on PDF.	Retested and reproduced this error for 6C; However the Observation description incorrectly states that the user selects "Yes" for 6C. The user actually selects "Yes" for 6B, which makes 6C a required field. When information is entered in the "Other" text box in 6C, and the user changes the response in 6B from Yes to No, 6C is no longer required and is hidden, but the information entered in 6C (in the text box for "Other") is still displayed on the PDF. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document -	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List
206	Data validation requirement not met - 18.18.18B.02	In the subordinate field for 18B, If yes, PROVIDE PERCENT OF LOSS OF VERTEBRAL BODY, the system allows non-numeric values AND values greater than 100. The data validation requirements for this field are: Element Type: Numeric Width: 3 Domain 0..100	Back (Thoracolumbar Spine) Conditions - VA Form 21-0960M-14	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect		Ticket submitted on 11/27/12. To change text field to numeric 3 for section Diagnostic Testing 18B.	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/DBQ UAT Test Observation List



207	"System Exception" error recieved when clicking the "Submit" button	After successfully entering all information in the DBQ, and clicking the "Submit" button, a "System Exception" error occured.  Error MessageSourced file: inline evaluation of: ``include("Generate XML Data"); static String CDATA_Escape="]]>"; Connection c . . . " : Typed variable declaration : Method Invocation gXMLd.getOutputStream : at Line: 9 : in file: inline evaluation of: ``include("Generate XML Data"); static String CDATA_Escape="]]>"; Connection c . . . " : gXMLd	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Critical	Defect	Ticket has been submitted HT-A16-CLM-001	ticket opened	Item	sites/OIT Defect - Regression test _IDIQ/VL when available ER/Lists/ DBQ UAT Test Observation List
-----	---	--	---	-----------------------	----------------	----------	--------	--	---------------	------	---

208	The system does not require the user to select a subordinate option	For Item 3, the requirement states: 1. If “Yes” Actor is required to make subordinate selection a. For each subordinate selection Actor must choose one and only one of None, Mild, Moderate or Severe The subordinates are designed as Yes/No, and the system allows the user to enter "No" for all subs, so essentially, it does not require the user to make a subordinate option.	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect	Design limitation due to COTS functionality, else marking only one required based on how other subordinates are answered would require scripting.  Work around would be to make them not required which would be a change request.  We can create a dummy multi checkbox to demonstrate the reason we did not go with creating multi-checkboxes for such questions. Two examples will be provided.	Item 3 in Medical History section of the DBQ. This is a design decision due to COTS functiality.	This design decision causes the requirement not to be met. The subordinates are designed as Yes/No, and the system allows the user to enter "No" for all subs, so essentially, it does not require the user to make a subordinate selection. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - requirement not marked "out of scope" 12/19 - Defect. Harris has presented a new design option to meet the requirement -- Option 2 is the desired choice.	Item	Defect - Regression test when available
-----	---	--	---	--------------------	----------------	-----	--------	--	--	---	------	---

209	The system does NOT require the user to complete a subordinate value	In item 4C, the system requires the user to select "Yes/No" for "All Normal". If the user selects "No" (not all normal), the system should require the user to enter information for at least one pair (Right/Left) of subordinate values. However the system does NOT require the user to enter any info for the subordinate values	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect	Ticket has been submitted UAT-A16-CJM-001	ticket opened	Item	Defect - Regression test when available
-----	--	--	---	--------------------	----------------	-----	--------	---	---------------	------	---

210	The system makes ALL subordinate values required instead of "at least one"	Item 4D, when the user selects "YES" for "Was POSITION SENSE tested?" the system makes ALL subordinate values required. The requirement states, "If “Not tested” is <b>not</b> selected then Actor must choose at least one from the subordinate selections.	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect	<p>Design limitation due to COTS functionality, else marking only one required based on how other subordinates are answered would require scripting.</p> <p>Work around would be to make them not required which would be a change request.</p> <p>We can create a dummy multi checkbox to demonstrate the reason we did not go with creating multi-checkboxes for such questions. Two examples will be provided.</p>	In item 4D - Physical Exam Section of the DBQ, This is a design decision due to COTS functionality.	The design does not allow the user to select at least one. The requirement states: 2. <i>If “Not tested” is not selected then Actor must choose at least one from the subordinate selections</i> , but ALL are required. 12/3 - Review of Out of Scope document - requirement not marked "out of scope" 12/19 - Defect. Harris has presented a new design option to meet the requirement -- Option 2 is the desired choice.	Item	Defect - Regression test when available
-----	--	--	---	--------------------	----------------	-----	--------	---	---	---	------	---

211	The system deos not display the question to load the Scars DBQ.	In Item 6A, the system should display a question asking the user if they want to load theScars/Disfigurement Questionnaire, if they answer "YES" to the question <i>"If "Yes," are any of the scars painful and/or unstable, or is the total area of all related scars greater than 39 square cm (6 square inches?)"</i> The system does NOT display the question "Do want to load the Scars/Disfigurement Questionnaire"?", but it DOES load the DBQ when the user answers "YES" to <i>"If "Yes," are any of the</i>	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect		Ticket has been submitted: UAT-A16-CJM-001	ticket opened	Item	Defect - Regression test when available
212	The "If Abnormal, describe" fields should NOT be required if "Abnormal" is not selected.	In item 7A, the system requires the user to enter information in the "If abnormal, describe.." field, but the user did not select "Abnormal" for any of the extremities	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect		Ticket has been submitted: UAT-A16-CJM-004	ticket opened	Item	Defect - Regression test when available

213	System should require the user to complete info for at least one nerve, not specifically the Radial nerve	In item 5A, the user can enter information for up to 3 nerves (Radial, Median, and Ulnar). The requirement states that the user must select at least one nerve and fill in the subordinate information. However, the system makes the Radial nerve, and all of its subordinates, REQUIRED.	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect		Ticket has been submitted: UAT-A16-CJM-002	ticket opened	Item	Defect - Regression test when available
214	System should require the user to complete info for at least one nerve, not specifically the Sciatic nerve	In item 5B, the user can enter information for up to 2 nerves (Sciatic, and Femoral ). The requirement states that the user must select at least one nerve and fill in the subordinate information. However, the system makes the Sciatic nerve, and all of its subordinates, REQUIRED.	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect		Ticket has been submitted: UAT-A16-CJM-002	ticket opened	Item	Defect - Regression test when available

215	Information not correctly displayed on PDF	Item 4D System: The user selected "Yes" to the question 4D. Was POSITION SENSE tested?PDF: The "Not Tested" checkbox is checked Item 4F System: The user selected "Yes" to the question 4F. Was COLD SENSATION tested?PDF: The "Not Tested" checkbox is checked Item 7B System: No information was entered in text box PDF: "0" was displayed in text box Item 5A System: User answered yes to the first question and entered	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Critical	Defect		Ticket has been submitted: UAT-A16-CJM-003 for items 4D, 4F, and 5A.  Item 7B - Could not be reproduced.  Signature- When entering in password for signature the correct name appears in the DBQ. On the PDF the signature does not appear as this is an electronic signature for submission purposes.	ticket opened	Item	Defect - Regression test when available
216	The severity options should NOT be required, if Incomplete Paralysis is not selected	Item 5A, (each nerve) -- If user does not select "Incomplete Paralysis", then the options for "If incomplete paralysis is checked, indicate severity" should NOT be required, but they are.	Diabetic Sensory-Motor Peripheral Neuropathy - VA Form 21-0960C-4	Pending Resolution	Surina Kennedy	Low	Defect		Ticket has been submitted: UAT-A16-CJM-002	ticket opened	Item	Defect - Regression test when available

217	System does not prompt the user to load the supplemental DBQs	<p>The system should prompt the user to load the supplemental DBQs listed in the following items</p> <p>3B. DOES THE VETERAN HAVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS THAT ARE AT LEAST AS LIKELY AS NOT (at least a 50% probability) DUE TO DIABETES MELLITUS?</p> <p>and</p> <p>3C. HAS THE VETERAN'S DIABETES MELLITUS AT LEAST AS LIKELY AS NOT (at least 50% probability) PERMANENTLY AGGRAVATED (meaning that any worsening of the</p>	Diabetes Mellitus - VA Form 21-0960E-1	Presented to Contractor	Surina Kennedy, Dr. Romero	Low	Change Request		New Observation- - created based on item 159. 12/6 -- If a DBQ is not available, the system will prompt the user to load the DBQ, but it will not load. There will not be an "Under Construction" page	Change Request
-----	---	--	--	-------------------------	----------------------------	-----	----------------	--	---	----------------